arXiv:q-alg/9710021v2 28 Oct 1997

$d^N = 0$

Michel DUBOIS-VIOLETTE

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies[1]
Université Paris XI, Bâtiment 211
91 405 Orsay Cedex, France

flad@qcd.th.u-psud.fr

February 9, 2008

Abstract

We study the generalized homology associated with a nilpotent endomorphism d satisfying $d^N = 0$. For simplicial modules we construct such nilpotent endomorphisms and we prove a general result relating the corresponding generalized homologies to the ordinary homology. We also discuss the generalization of the notion of graded differential algebra in this context.

L.P.T.H.E.-ORSAY 97/53

q-alg/9710021

---

[1] Laboratoire associé au Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique - URA D0063

1 Introduction

The aim of this work is to describe and study the generalized homologies associated with nilpotent endomorphisms d satisfying $d^N = 0$, N being an integer with $N \geq 2$. Throughout this paper $\mathbf{k}$ is a commutative ring with a unit and N is an integer greater than or equal to 2.

In Section 2 the notion of N-differential module is introduced and the corresponding generalized homology is defined and studied. A N-differential module over $\mathbf{k}$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-module equipped with an endomorphism d, its N-differential, satisfying $d^N = 0$; its homology being the $\mathbf{k}$-modules $\ker(d^n)/\mathrm{Im}(d^{N-n})$, $n = 1, \dots, N-1$. Lemma 1, which has no nontrivial counterpart in the classical situation $N = 2$, turns out to be a basic result for the development of the theory.

In Section 3 the graded case is studied. A N-complex of modules is a N-differential module which is graded with a N-differential homogeneous of degree 1 or -1. To a N-complex is associated a family of ordinary complexes and we study the relations between their homologies. Given a pre-(co)simplicial module and an element q of the ring $\mathbf{k}$ such that $[N]_q = 0$, (see in Section 2 for the definitions of "q-numbers"), we construct a family of N-differentials and we show that the corresponding generalized (co)homologies are related by homomorphisms to the ordinary (co)homology of the pre-(co)simplicial module. In the case of a (co)simplicial module and if furthermore q is such that $[n]_q$ is invertible for $n = 1, \dots, N-1$ we show that these homomorphisms are isomorphisms and that this allows to identify these generalized (co)homologies in terms of the ordinary one. Although that kind of results may be expected in view of the Dold-Kan correspondence, the identifications as well as the proof of these identifications are far from being trivial. Applied to the generalized Hochschild (co)homology at roots of unity, these results imply the results announced in [MD-V] as well as the results of [KW]. Applied to simplicial complexes in the case where N is a prime number and where $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, these results give an improvement of the results of [May].

In Section 4 the corresponding generalization of graded differential algebras is introduced and discussed. Several examples are described and we construct universal models associated with associative algebras generalizing thereby the construction of the universal graded differential envelope of an associative algebra [Kar]. These examples have been introduced in [D-VK] or in [MD-V] in the case where $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$, however here we give a different presentation in the framework of cosimplicial algebras. Furthermore there are some subtilities in the replacement of the field $\mathbb{C}$ by the ring $\mathbf{k}$.

2 N-differential modules

Throughout this paper $\mathbf{k}$ is a commutative ring with a unit and N denotes an integer with $N \geq 2$. By a module without other specification we always mean a $\mathbf{k}$-module; the same convention is adopted for endomorphisms, homomorphisms, etc.. A *N-differential module* is a module E equipped with an endomorphism d, its *N-differential*, satisfying $d^N = 0$. Given two N-differential modules (E, d) and (E', d'), an *homomorphism of N-differential modules* of E into E' is an homomorphism $\varphi : E \to E'$ satisfying $\varphi \circ d = d' \circ \varphi$. The N-differential modules and their homomorphisms form an abelian category so one can speak there of kernels, exact sequences, etc.

Let E be a N-differential module with N-differential d. One defines for each integer n with $1 \leq n \leq N-1$ the submodules $Z_{(n)}(E)$ and $B_{(n)}(E)$ by $Z_{(n)}(E) = \ker(d^n)$ and $B_{(n)}(E) = \mathrm{Im}\ (d^{N-n})$. Since $d^N = 0$, one has $B_{(n)}(E) \subset Z_{(n)}(E)$ so the module $H_{(n)}(E) = Z_{(n)}(E)/B_{(n)}(E)$ is well defined. The modules $H_{(n)}(E)$, $1 \leq n \leq N-1$, will be refered to as *the homology of E*. Assume that $N \geq 3$ and let n be an integer with $1 \leq n \leq N-2$, one has $Z_{(n)}(E) \subset Z_{(n+1)}(E)$ and $B_{(n)}(E) \subset B_{(n+1)}(E)$ which induces an homomorphism $[i] : H_{(n)}(E) \to H_{(n+1)}(E)$; on the other hand one also has $dZ_{(n+1)}(E) \subset Z_{(n)}(E)$ and $dB_{(n+1)}(E) \subset B_{(n)}(E)$ which induces an homomorphism $[d] : H_{(n+1)}(E) \to H_{(n)}(E)$. The following basic lemma [D-VK] shows that the $H_{(n)}(E)$ are not independent.

LEMMA 1 *Let ℓ and m be integers with $\ell \geq 1$, $m \geq 1$ and $\ell + m \leq N-1$. Then the following hexagon $(\mathcal{H}^{\ell,m})$ of homomorphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & H_{(\ell+m)}(E) \arrow[r, "{[d]^m}"] & H_{(\ell)}(E) \arrow[dr, "{[i]^{N-(\ell+m)}}"] & \\
H_{(m)}(E) \arrow[ur, "{[i]^\ell}"] & & & H_{(N-m)}(E) \arrow[dl, "{[d]^\ell}"] \\
 & H_{(N-\ell)}(E) \arrow[ul, "{[d]^{N-(\ell+m)}}"] & H_{(N-(\ell+m))}(E) \arrow[l, "{[i]^m}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is exact.

Proof. [D-VK]. By interchanging (m,ℓ), $(\ell, N-(\ell+m))$ and $(N-(\ell+m), m)$, it is sufficient proving the exactness of the sequences $H_{(m)}(E) \xrightarrow{[i]^\ell} H_{(\ell+m)}(E) \xrightarrow{[d]^m} H_{(\ell)}(E)$ and $H_{(\ell+m)}(E) \xrightarrow{[d]^m} H_{(\ell)}(E) \xrightarrow{[i]^{N-(\ell+m)}} H_{(N-m)}(E)$. It follows from the definitions that $[d]^m \circ [i]^\ell$ is the zero map of $H_{(m)}(E)$ into $H_{(\ell)}(E)$ and that $[i]^{N-(\ell+m)} \circ [d]^m$ is the zero map of $H_{(\ell+m)}(E)$ into $H_{(N-m)}(E)$. Let $z \in Z_{(\ell+m)}(E)$ be such that $d^m z = d^{N-\ell} c$ for some $c \in E$; then $d^m(z - d^{N-(\ell+m)}c) = 0$ which means that the class of z in $H_{(\ell+m)}(E)$ belongs to $[i]^\ell H_{(m)}(E)$ which achieves the proof of the exactness of the first sequence. Let $z \in Z_{(\ell)}(E)$ be such that $z = [d]^m c$ for some $c \in E$; then $d^{\ell+m}c = 0$ which means that the class of z in $H_{(\ell)}(E)$ belongs to $[d]^m H_{(\ell+m)}(E)$ which achieves the proof of the exactness of the second sequence. $\square$

Let $\varphi : E \to E'$ be an homomorphism of N-differential modules. Then one has $\varphi(Z_{(n)}(E)) \subset Z_{(n)}(E')$ and $\varphi(B_{(n)}(E)) \subset B_{(n)}(E')$ so φ induces an homomorphism $\varphi_* : H_{(n)}(E) \to H_{(n)}(E')$ for each integer n with $1 \leq n \leq N-1$. The correspondences $E \mapsto H_{(n)}(E)$ are of course functorial with $H_{(n)}(\varphi) = \varphi_*$.

Furthermore one has $\varphi_* \circ [i] = [i] \circ \varphi_*$ and $\varphi_* \circ [d] = [d] \circ \varphi_*$.

PROPOSITION 1 *Let $\varphi : E \to E'$ be an homomorphism of N-differential modules and assume that $\varphi_* : H_{(1)}(E) \to H_{(1)}(E')$ and $\varphi_* : H_{(N-1)}(E) \to H_{(N-1)}(E')$ are isomorphisms. Then $\varphi_* : H_{(n)}(E) \to H_{(n)}(E')$ is an isomorphism for any integer n with $1 \leq n \leq N-1$.*

Proof. By induction: Let n be an integer with $1 \leq n \leq N-2$ and assume that $\varphi_* : H_{(m)}(E) \to H_{(m)}(E')$ and $\varphi_* : H_{(N-m)}(E) \to H_{(N-m)}(E')$ are isomorphisms for each integer m such that $1 \leq m \leq n$; considering the action of φ_* on the hexagon $\mathcal{H}^{n,1}$, one obtains the two commutative diagrams with exact columns

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_{(N-n)}(E) \arrow[r, "\varphi_*"] \arrow[d, "{[d]^{N-n-1}}"] & H_{(N-n)}(E') \arrow[d, "{[d]^{N-n-1}}"] \\
H_{(1)}(E) \arrow[r, "\varphi_*"] \arrow[d, "{[i]^{n}}"] & H_{(1)}(E') \arrow[d, "{[i]^{n}}"] \\
H_{(n+1)}(E) \arrow[r, "\varphi_*"] \arrow[d, "{[d]}"] & H_{(n+1)}(E') \arrow[d, "{[d]}"] \\
H_{(n)}(E) \arrow[r, "\varphi_*"] \arrow[d, "{[i]^{N-n-1}}"] & H_{(n)}(E') \arrow[d, "{[i]^{N-n-1}}"] \\
H_{(N-1)}(E) \arrow[r, "\varphi_*"] & H_{(N-1)}(E')
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
H_{(n)}(E) \arrow[r, "\varphi_*"] \arrow[d, "{[i]^{N-n-1}}"] & H_{(n)}(E') \arrow[d, "{[i]^{N-n-1}}"] \\
H_{(N-1)}(E) \arrow[r, "\varphi_*"] \arrow[d, "{[d]^{n}}"] & H_{(N-1)}(E') \arrow[d, "{[d]^{n}}"] \\
H_{(N-n-1)}(E) \arrow[r, "\varphi_*"] \arrow[d, "{[i]}"] & H_{(N-n-1)}(E') \arrow[d, "{[i]}"] \\
H_{(N-n)}(E) \arrow[r, "\varphi_*"] \arrow[d, "{[d]^{N-n-1}}"] & H_{(N-n)}(E') \arrow[d, "{[d]^{N-n-1}}"] \\
H_{(1)}(E) \arrow[r, "\varphi_*"] & H_{(1)}(E')
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the first two rows and the last two rows are isomorphisms, which implies by the "five lemma" that $\varphi_* : H_{(n+1)}(E) \to H_{(n+1)}(E')$ and $\varphi_* : H_{(N-(n+1))}(E) \to H_{(N-(n+1))}(E')$ are also isomorphisms. $\square$

Remark 1. It is worth noticing that $H_{(1)}(E) \oplus H_{(N-1)}(E)$ is the ordinary homology of the ordinary ($\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded) differential module $\mathcal{E} = E \oplus E$ with differential

δ defined by $\delta(x \oplus y) = d^{N-1}(y) \oplus d(x)$.

Let us now generalize in this context the construction of the connecting homomorphism associated to a short exact sequence of differential modules. So let $0 \to E \xrightarrow{\alpha} F \xrightarrow{\beta} G \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of N-differential modules and let $g \in Z_{(n)}(G)$, $(1 \leq n \leq N-1)$. Then, by exactness at G, there is an element f of F with $\beta(f) = g$. One has $\beta(d^n f) = d^n g = 0$ and therefore, by exactness at F, there is an element e of E with $\alpha(e) = d^n f$. One has $\alpha(d^{N-n}e) = d^N f = 0$ which implies, by exactness at E, $d^{N-n}e = 0$ that is $e \in Z_{(N-n)}(E)$. Given g as above, f is unique up to $\alpha(E)$, in view of exactness at F, and therefore e is unique up to $d^n E = B_{(N-n)}(E)$ in view of exactness of E. Furthermore if $g \in d^{N-n}G = B_{(n)}(G)$ then $e \in d^n E = B_{(N-n)}(E)$. Therefore one has a well defined homomorphism $\partial : H_{(n)}(G) \to H_{(N-n)}(E)$ which generalizes the usual connecting homomorphism. These homomorphisms $\partial : H_{(n)}(G) \to H_{(N-n)}(E)$, for $1 \leq n \leq N-1$, will be refered to as the *connecting homomorphisms* associated with the short exact sequence $0 \to E \xrightarrow{\alpha} F \xrightarrow{\beta} G \to 0$ of N-differential modules. This construction is functorial in the following sense: If one has a commutative diagram of N-differential modules

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\lambda"'] & F \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "\mu"'] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\nu"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & E' \arrow[r, "\alpha'"'] & F' \arrow[r, "\beta'"'] & G' \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the rows are exact, then the connecting homomorphisms ∂ and ∂' associated with the first and the second row are related by $\lambda_* \circ \partial = \partial' \circ \nu_* : H_{(n)}(G) \to H_{(N-n)}(E')$.

Remark 2. For later purpose let us notice the following:

For each n $(1 \leq n \leq N-1)$, the application of d^n enter in the construction of $\partial : H_{(n)}(G) \to H_{(N-n)}(E)$ in such a way that, in the graded situation, the degree of $\partial : H_{(n)}(G) \to H_{(N-n)}(E)$ is the degree of d^n.

The following lemma is the generalization to N-modules of the exact triangle in homology associated with a short exact sequence of differential modules (the usual case $N = 2$).

LEMMA 2 *Let* $0 \to E \overset{\alpha}{\to} F \overset{\beta}{\to} G \to 0$ *be a short exact sequence of* N*-differential modules with associated connecting homomorphisms denoted by* ∂. *Then for each integer* n *with* $1 \leq n \leq N-1$, *the following hexagon* $(\mathcal{H}_n)$ *of homomorphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & H_{(n)}(F) \arrow[r, "\beta_*"] & H_{(n)}(G) \arrow[dr, "\partial"] & \\
H_{(n)}(E) \arrow[ur, "\alpha_*"] & & & H_{(N-n)}(E) \arrow[dl, "\alpha_*"] \\
 & H_{(N-n)}(G) \arrow[ul, "\partial"] & H_{(N-n)}(F) \arrow[l, "\beta_*"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is exact.

The proof of this lemma follows from the definition and is the same as the proof of exactness of the triangle in the usual case $N = 2$. Kassel and Wambst gave in [KW] a direct proof of this lemma together with the construction of ∂ by using the snake lemma.

Let us give now some criteria ensuring the vanishing of the $H_{(n)}(E)$. The first criterion is extracted from [Kapr].

LEMMA 3 *Let E be a N-differential module such that $H_{(k)}(E) = 0$ for some integer k with $1 \leq k \leq N-1$. Then one has $H_{(n)}(E) = 0$ for any integer n with $1 \leq n \leq N-1$.*

Proof. Although Kapranov gave a nice direct proof of this in [Kapr], we give here a proof by using Lemma 1. One extracts from the hexagon ($\mathcal{H}^{k-1,1}$), when $k \geq 2$, the exact sequence

$$H_{(N-1)}(E) \xrightarrow{[d]^{N-k}} H_{(k-1)}(E) \overset{[i]}{\to} H_{(k)}(E) = 0$$

and the mapping $[d]^{N-k} : H_{(N-1)}(E) \to H_{(k-1)}(E)$ is the zero mapping because either $k = N-1$ or if $k \leq N-2$ it factorizes through

$$H_{(N-1)}(E) \xrightarrow{[d]^{N-k-1}} H_{(k)}(E) = 0 \overset{[d]}{\to} H_{(k-1)}(E).$$

Thus $H_{(k)}(E) = 0$ implies $H_{(n)}(E) = 0$ for any n with $1 \leq n \leq k$. On the other hand, one extracts from the hexagon ($\mathcal{H}^{k,1}$), when $k \leq N-2$, the exact sequence $0 = H_{(k)}(E) \overset{[i]}{\to} H_{(k+1)}(E) \overset{[d]^k}{\to} H_{(1)}(E)$ and $H_{(1)}(E) = 0$ in view of above, therefore $H_{(k+1)}(E) = 0$. This achieves the proof of the lemma.□

For next criterion which is in [Kapr] and in [KW], we introduce, following Kassel and Wambst [KW], the appropriate notion of homotopy. Let E and F be two N-differential modules; two homomorphisms of N-differential modules, λ and μ, of E into F will be said to be *homotopic* if there exist module-homomorphisms $h_k : E \to F$, ($k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$), such that $\lambda - \mu = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} d^{N-1-k} h_k d^k$. This is an equivalence relation.

LEMMA 4 *If λ and μ are homotopic homomorphisms of N-differential modules of E into F, they induce the same homomorphisms in homology, i.e.*

$$\lambda_* = \mu_* : H_{(n)}(E) \rightarrow H_{(n)}(F)$$

for each integer n with $1 \leq n \leq N-1$.

Proof. [KW]. By applying $\lambda - \mu = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} d^{N-1-k} h_k d^k$ to an element z of $Z_{(n)}(E)$ one obtains $\lambda(z) - \mu(z) = d^{N-n}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d^{n-1-k} h_k d^k z)\right)$, $(1 \leq n \leq N-1)$. Thus the difference between the elements $\lambda(z)$ and $\mu(z)$ of $Z_{(n)}(F)$ belongs to $B_{(n)}(F)$ which implies the statement. □

Next criterion follows immediately.

COROLLARY 1 *Let E be an N-differential module such that there are endomorphisms of modules $h_k : E \rightarrow E$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ satisfying $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} d^{N-1-k} h_k d^k = Id_E$; then one has $H_{(n)}(E) = 0$ for each integer n with $1 \leq n \leq N-1$.*

In order to formulate the last criterion which will be the most useful in the following, we recall the definition of q-numbers. Let q be an element of the ring $\mathbf{k}$, one associates with q a mapping $[.]_q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$, $n \mapsto [n]_q$, which is defined by setting $[0]_q = 0$ and $[n]_q = 1 + \cdots + q^{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^k$ for $n \geq 1$, $(q^0 = 1)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 1$, one defines the q-factorial $[n]_q! \in \mathbf{k}$ by $[n]_q \dots 1 = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_q$. For integers n and m with $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$, one defined inductively the q-binomial coefficients $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q \in \mathbf{k}$ by setting $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q = 1$ and $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q + q^{m+1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m+1 \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ m+1 \end{bmatrix}_q$ for $0 \leq m \leq n-1$. As in [KW] let us introduce the following assumptions (A_0) and (A_1) on the ring $\mathbf{k}$ and the element

q of $\mathbf{k}$:

(A_0) $\qquad [N]_q = 0$

(A_1) $\qquad [N]_q = 0$ and $[n]_q$ is invertible for $1 \leq n \leq N-1$, $(n \in \mathbb{N})$.

Notice that $[N]_q = 0$ implies that $q^N = 1$ and therefore that q is invertible. Furthermore if q is invertible one has $[n]_{q^{-1}} = q^{-n+1}[n]_q$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore Assumption (A_0), (resp. (A_1)), for $\mathbf{k}$ and $q \in \mathbf{k}$ is equivalent to Assumption (A_0), (resp. (A_1)), for $\mathbf{k}$ and $q^{-1} \in \mathbf{k}$.

We are now ready to state the last criterion [MD-V].

LEMMA 5 *Suppose that* $\mathbf{k}$ *and* $q \in \mathbf{k}$ *satisfy* (A_1) *and let* E *be a* N*-differential module. Assume that there is a module-endomorphism* h *of* E *such that* $hd - qdh = Id_E$. *Then one has* $H_{(n)}(E) = 0$ *for each integer* n *with* $1 \leq n \leq N-1$.

Proof. We shall show that one has the identity $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} d^{N-1-k}h^{N-1}d^k = [N-1]_q! Id_E$ which implies the result in view of Corollary 1. In order to prove the latter identity, consider the unital associative $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra $\mathcal{D}_q$ generated by two elements H and D with relation $HD - qDH = \mathbb{1}$. In $\mathcal{D}_q$, one clearly has $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} D^{N-1-k}H^{N-1}D^k = \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} a_r D^r H^r$ for some $a_r \in \mathbf{k}$. We shall show that, under assumption (A_1), one has $a_0 = [N-1]_q!$ and $a_r = 0$ for $1 \leq r \leq N-1$ which implies the result. There is a proof of this in [KW]. Here however we give a simpler proof which has been suggested by Rausch de Traubenberg and which is essentially extracted from the algebraic technics of [RdT]. Consider the matrices H_N and D_N of $M_N(\mathbf{k}\ )$ defined by

$$H_N = \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & . & . & . & . & . & 0 \\ [N-1]_q & . & & & & & . \\ 0 & . & . & & & & . \\ . & . & . & . & & & . \\ . & & . & . & . & & . \\ . & & & . & . & . & . \\ 0 & . & . & . & 0 & [1]_q & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

and

$$D_N = \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & . & . & . & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & & & . \\ . & & . & . & . & & . \\ . & & & . & . & . & . \\ . & & & & . & . & 0 \\ . & & & & & . & 1 \\ 0 & . & & . & . & . & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

$([1]_q = 1)$.

One has in $M_N(\mathbf{k}\ )$:

$$H_N D_N - q D_N H_N = \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} -q[N-1]_q & & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ & & . & & & & \\ & & & . & & & \\ & & & & . & & \\ & & & & & . & \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

It follows from $[N]_q = 0$ that $-q[N-1]_q = 1$ and thus $H_N D_N - q D_N H_N = \mathbb{1}$, which means that $H \mapsto H_N$, $D \mapsto D_N$ define an homomorphism of $\mathcal{D}_q$ into the $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra $M_N(\mathbf{k}\ )$. This implies that one has

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} D_N^{N-1-k} H_N^{N-1} D_N^k = \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} a_r D_N^r H_N^r.$$

On the other hand one easily obtains by computation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} D_N^{N-1-k} H_N^{N-1} D_N^k = [N-1]_q! \mathbb{1}$$

It follows that $(a_0-[N-1]_q!)\mathbb{1}+\sum_{r=1}^{N-1} a_r D^r_N H^r_N = 0$ which implies $a_0 = [N-1]_q!$ and $a_r = 0$ for $1 \leq r \leq N-1$ since $D^r_N H^r_N$ is a diagonal matrix with the first $(N-r)$ diagonal elements invertible (by Assumption (A_1)) and the last r diagonal elements vanish. □

Let us now say a few words on the case where $\mathbf{k}$ is a field. In this case a N-differential module E will be called a *N-differential vector space* and the $H_{(n)}(E)$ are vector spaces.

LEMMA 6 *If E is a finite-dimensional N-differential vector space then, for each integer n with $1 \leq n \leq N-1$, the vector spaces $H_{(n)}(E)$ and $H_{(N-n)}(E)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. One has the isomorphisms of vector spaces
$E \simeq \ker(d^n) \oplus \mathrm{Im}(d^n) = Z_{(n)}(E) \oplus B_{(N-n)}(E)$ and
$E \simeq \ker(d^{N-n}) \oplus \mathrm{Im}(d^{N-n}) = Z_{(N-n)}(E) \oplus B_{(n)}(E)$.
On the other hand, one also has the isomorphisms of vector spaces $Z_{(n)}(E) \simeq H_{(n)}(E) \oplus B_{(n)}(E)$ and $Z_{(N-n)}(E) \simeq H_{(N-n)}(E) \oplus B_{(N-n)}(E)$. The isomorphism $H_{(n)}(E) \simeq H_{(N-n)}(E)$ follows. □

Warning: One must be aware of the fact that the above isomorphisms are not canonical; in particular, they do not allow to replace the exact hexagons of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 by exact triangles.

In the case where (E, d) is a finite dimensional N-differential vector space over $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ one shows easily (see e.g. in [Gre]) by decomposing E into irreductible factors for d that one has an isomorphism $E \simeq \oplus_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{k}^n \otimes \mathbf{k}^{m_n}$, $d \simeq \oplus_{n=2}^{N} D_n \otimes Id_{\mathbf{k}^{m_n}}$ with

$$D_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & . & . & . & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & & & . \\ . & & . & . & . & & . \\ . & & & . & . & . & . \\ . & & & & . & . & 0 \\ . & & & & & . & 1 \\ 0 & . & . & . & . & . & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbf{k}\ )$$

where the *multiplicities* m_n, $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, are invariants of (E, d) with $\sum_{n=1}^{N} n m_n = \dim(E)$. Notice that one has $m_N \geq 1$ whenever $d^{N-1} \neq 0$. Notice also that the above decomposition of d is its *Jordan normal-form*. In terms of the multiplicities, one can easily compute the dimensions of the vector spaces $H_{(k)}(E)$. The result is given by the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2 *Let E be a finite dimensional N-differential vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ with multiplicities m_n, $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, then one has for each integer k with $1 \leq k \leq N/2$*

$$\dim H_{(k)}(E) = \dim H_{(N-k)}(E) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} r(m_r + m_{N-r}) + k \sum_{s=k}^{N-k} m_s,$$

the first summation vanishing for $k = 1$.

Although easy, that kind of results is useful for applications e.g. in conformal field theory [D-VT].

3 N-complexes and (co)simplicial modules

In this section we study the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded case. A *N-complex of modules* [Kapr] is a N-differential module which is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded module $E = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} E^n$ with an homogeneous N-differential d of degree 1 or -1. When d is of degree 1, E is refered to as *a cochain N-complex* and when d is of degree -1, E is refered to as a *chain N-complex*. One passes easily from the cochain case to the chain case. Here we adopt the cochain language and therefore in the following a *N-complex*, without other specification, always means a cochain N-complex of modules. An ordinary complex, or simply a complex, will mean an ordinary cochain complex of modules that is it corresponds to the case where $N = 2$. If E is a N-complex then the $H_{(m)}(E)$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-graded modules; $H_{(m)}(E) = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} H^n_{(m)}(E)$ with $H^n_{(m)}(E) = \ker(d^m : E^n \to E^{n+m})/d^{N-m}(E^{n+m-N})$. In this case the hexagon $(\mathcal{H}^{\ell,m})$ of Lemma 1 splits into long exact sequences $(\mathcal{S}^{\ell,m}_p)$, $p\in\mathbb{Z}$

$$(\mathcal{S}^{\ell,m}_p)\qquad \begin{aligned} &\cdots \to H^{Nr+p}_{(m)}(E) \xrightarrow{[i]^\ell} H^{Nr+p}_{(\ell+m)}(E) \xrightarrow{[d]^m} H^{Nr+p+m}_{(\ell)}(E)\\ &\xrightarrow{[i]^{N-(\ell+m)}} H^{Nr+p+m}_{(N-m)}(E) \xrightarrow{[d]^\ell} H^{Nr+p+\ell+m}_{(N-(\ell+m))}(E)\\ &\xrightarrow{[i]^m} H^{Nr+p+\ell+m}_{(N-\ell)}(E) \xrightarrow{[d]^{N-(\ell+m)}} H^{N(r+1)+p}_{(m)}(E) \xrightarrow{[i]^\ell} \cdots \end{aligned}$$

One has $(\mathcal{S}^{\ell,m}_p) = (\mathcal{S}^{\ell,m}_{p+N})$ which means that $(\mathcal{S}^{\ell,m}_p)$ does only depend on the class of p in $\mathbb{Z}_N = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Thus for fixed ℓ, m as in Lemma 1 one has only N independent long exact sequences $(\mathcal{S}^{\ell,m}_p)$ which are obtained for instance by taking p in $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$, however it will be convenient in the following to keep the redundant indexation by $p\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Let E and E' be N-complexes, an *homomorphism of N-complexes* of E into E' is an homomorphism of N-differential modules $\varphi : E \rightarrow E'$ which is homogeneous of degree 0, (i.e. $\varphi(E^n) \subset E'^n$). Such an homomorphism of N-complexes induces module-homomorphisms $\varphi_* : H^n_{(m)}(E) \rightarrow H^n_{(m)}(E')$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq m \leq N-1$. Let $0 \rightarrow E \overset{\alpha}{\rightarrow} F \overset{\beta}{\rightarrow} G \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of N-complexes, then the hexagon $(\mathcal{H}_n)$ of Lemma 2 splits into long exact sequences $(\mathcal{S}_{n,p}), p \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(\mathcal{S}_{n,p}) \qquad \begin{array}{l} \dots \rightarrow H^{Nr+p}_{(n)}(E) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} H^{Nr+p}_{(n)}(F) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} H^{Nr+p}_{(n)}(G) \\ \overset{\partial}{\rightarrow} H^{Nr+p+n}_{(N-n)}(E) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} H^{Nr+p+n}_{(N-n)}(F) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} H^{Nr+p+n}_{(N-n)}(G) \\ \overset{\partial}{\rightarrow} H^{N(r+1)+p}_{(n)}(E) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \dots \end{array}$$

One has again $(\mathcal{S}_{n,p}) = (\mathcal{S}_{n,p+N})$ so there are N such sequences for a given integer n with $1 \leq n \leq N-1$.

Let E be a N-complex, we associate to E the following ordinary complexes $C_{m,p}$, $1 \leq m \leq N-1,\ p \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$C_{m,p} \qquad \dots \xrightarrow{d^{N-m}} E^{Nr+p} \xrightarrow{d^m} E^{Nr+p+m} \xrightarrow{d^{N-m}} E^{N(r+1)+p} \xrightarrow{d^m} \dots$$

that is $C_{m,p} = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} C^n_{m,p}$ with $C^{2r+p}_{m,p} = E^{Nr+p}$ and $C^{2r+p+1}_{m,p} = E^{Nr+p+m}$, the differential of $C_{m,p}$ being given alternatively by d^m and d^{N-m}. Again one has $C_{m,p} = C_{m,p+N}$ so, for fixed m in $\{1,\dots,N-1\}$, there are generically only N distinct such complexes which are obtained for instance by taking p in $\{0,1,\dots,N-1\}$ but it will be convenient in the following to keep the redundant indexation by

$p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that one also has $C_{m,p} = C_{N-m,p+m}$ (so there may be less than N distinct relevant p). Let ℓ and m be integers with $\ell \geq 1, m \geq 1, \ell + m \leq N-1$ and consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r, "d^{N-m}"] & E^{Nr+p} \arrow[r, "d^m"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{Nr+p+m} \arrow[r, "d^{N-m}"] \arrow[d, "d^\ell"] & E^{N(r+1)+p} \arrow[r, "d^m"] \arrow[d, "I"] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "d^{N-(\ell+m)}"] & E^{Nr+p} \arrow[r, "d^{\ell+m}"] & E^{Nr+p+\ell+m} \arrow[r, "d^{N-(\ell+m)}"] & E^{N(r+1)+p} \arrow[r, "d^{\ell+m}"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

where I denotes the identity mappings of the corresponding modules. The first row is the complex $C_{m,p}$ and the second row is the complex $C_{\ell+m,p}$ so the columns define an homomorphism of complexes $\Delta^\ell : C_{m,p} \to C_{\ell+m,p}$; this notation is justified by the fact that one has $\Delta^\ell = (\Delta^1)^\ell, \Delta^\ell = \Delta^r \Delta^s$ if $\ell = r+s$ with $r \geq 1, s \geq 1$. In (co)homology, Δ^ℓ induces homomorphisms $\Delta^\ell_\ast : H^n(C_{m,p}) \to H^n(C_{\ell+m,p})$; $\Delta^\ell_\ast : H^\ast(C_{m,p}) \to H^\ast(C_{\ell+m,p})$ is an homomorphism of graded modules.

LEMMA 7 *The following conditions* (a), (b) *and* (c) *are equivalent.*

(a) $H^{Nr+p+m}_{(\ell)}(E) = 0$ *and* $H^{Nr+p+\ell+m}_{(N-\ell)}(E) = 0, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}$

(b) $[i]^\ell : H^{Nr+p}_{(m)}(E) \to H^{Nr+p}_{(\ell+m)}(E)$ *and* $[d]^\ell : H^{Nr+p+m}_{(N-m)}(E) \to H^{Nr+p+\ell+m}_{(N-(\ell+m))}(E)$ *are isomorphisms,* $\forall r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(c) $\Delta^\ell_\ast : H^\ast(C_{m,p}) \to H^\ast(C_{\ell+m,p})$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The equivalence $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b)$ follows from the exactness of the sequence $(\mathcal{S}^{\ell,m}_p)$. The equivalence $(b) \Leftrightarrow (c)$ follows from $H^{Nr+p}_{(m)}(E) = H^{2r+p}(C_{m,p})$, $H^{Nr+p}_{(\ell+m)}(E) = H^{2r+p}(C_{\ell+m,p})$ and $\Delta^\ell_\ast = [i]^\ell$ on $H^{2r+p}(C_{m,p}) = H^{Nr+p}_{(m)}(E)$ and from $H^{Nr+p+m}_{(N-m)}(E) = H^{2r+p+1}(C_{m,p}), H^{Nr+p+\ell+m}_{(N-(\ell+m))}(E) = H^{2r+p+1}(C_{\ell+m,p})$ and $\Delta^\ell_\ast = [d]^\ell$ on $H^{2r+p+1}(C_{m,p}) = H^{Nr+p+m}_{(N-m)}(E)$. $\square$

COROLLARY 2 *The following conditions* (i), (ii) *and* (iii) *are equivalent.*

(i) $H^n_{(m)}(E) = 0$ *if* $n \neq p\ (modN)$ *and* $n + m \neq p\ (modN)$,

(ii) $\Delta_* : H^*(C_{m,p}) \to H^*(C_{m+1,p})$ *is an isomorphism,* $\forall m \in \{1, \dots, N-2\}$,

(iii) $H^{Nr+p+m}_{(1)}(E) = 0$ *and* $H^{Nr+p+m+1}_{(N-1)}(E) = 0$, $\forall m \in \{1, \dots, N-2\}$ *and* $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following, we shall be mainly concerned with positive N-complexes, that is with the $\mathbb{N}$-graded case $E = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E^n$ (or $E^n = 0$ if $n < 0$). There is a standard way to produce positive complexes starting from (co)simplicial modules, (see e.g. [J-LL], [Weib]). A *pre-cosimplicial module* (or *semi-cosimplicial* in the terminology of [Weib]) is a sequence of modules $(E^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ together with *coface homomorphisms* $\mathfrak{f}_i : E^n \to E^{n+1}$, $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$, satisfying

$$(\mathfrak{F}) \qquad \mathfrak{f}_j \mathfrak{f}_i = \mathfrak{f}_i \mathfrak{f}_{j-1} \quad \text{if } i < j.$$

Given a pre-cosimplicial module (E^n), one associates to it a positive complex (E, d) by setting $E = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E^n$ and $d = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \mathfrak{f}_i : E^n \to E^{n+1}$.

One verifies that $d^2 = 0$ is implied by the coface relations $(\mathfrak{F})$.

In the rest of this section (E^n) is a fixed pre-cosimplicial module, d will always denote the above differential and q is a fixed element of $\mathbf{k}$ such that $[N]_q = 0$, (i.e. $\mathbf{k}$ and $q \in \mathbf{k}$ satisfy assumption (A_0) of §2). Furthermore, the $H^n = H^n(E) = \ker(d : E^n \to E^{n+1})/dE^{n-1}$ will denote the (co)homology of (E, d) and will be refered to as *the cohomology of the pre-cosimplicial module* (E^n).

Let us define two sequences d_m, δ_m $(m \in \mathbb{N})$ of homogeneous endomorphisms of degree 1 of E by setting

$\delta_m = \sum_{i=0}^{n-m+1} q^i \mathfrak{f}_i : E^n \to E^{n+1}$ for $n \geq m-1$ and $\delta_m(E^n) = 0$ for $n < m-1$

$d_m = \delta_{m+1} + q^{n-m+1} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{m} (-1)^r \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+r+1}\right) : E^n \to E^{n+1}$ for $n \geq m-1$

$d_m = d : E^n \to E^{n+1}$ for $n < m-1$ and $d_0 = \delta_0$.

Notice that $d_{n+1} = d$ on E^n and that therefore $d_m = d$ on E^n for $n \leq m-1$. For $n \geq m-1 \geq 0$ one can also write

$d_m = \delta_m - q^{n-m+1}(\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}(-1)^r \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+r+2}) \,:\, E^n \to E^{n+1}$.

LEMMA 8 *Let* n *and* m *be elements of* $\mathbb{N}$ *with* $n \geq m$. *Then, on* E^n *one has the following identities:*

(i) $d_m^{p+1} = \delta_{m+1}^p(\delta_{m+1} + [p+1]_q q^{n-m+1} \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+r+1}), \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$

(ii) $\delta_m^{p+1} = \delta_{m+1}^p(\delta_{m+1} + [p+1]_q q^{n-m+1} \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+1}), \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Furthermore the following identity holds on E *for any* $m \in \mathbb{N}$

(iii) $d_{m+1} d_m = \delta_{m+1}^2$.

Proof. By induction on p. From the definitions it follows that *(i)* and *(ii)* are true for $p = 0$. The passage from p to $p+1$ follows from $(\mathfrak{F})$ which implies

$$(\delta_{m+1} + [p+1]_q q^{n-m+2} \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+r+2}) d_m =$$

$$\delta_{m+1}(\delta_{m+1} + [p+2]_q q^{n-m+1} \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+r+1})$$

and

$$(\delta_{m+1} + [p+1]_q q^{n-m+2} \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+2}) \delta_m = \delta_{m+1}(\delta_{m+1} + [p+2]_q q^{n-m+1} \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+1}).$$

For *(iii)* one first notices that on E^n with $n \leq m-1$ one has $d_{m+1} d_m = d^2 = 0$ and $\delta_{m+1} = 0$. On the other hand if $n \geq m$ one has on E^n

$d_{m+1} d_m =$

$= (\delta_{m+1} - q^{n-m+1} \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+r+2})(\delta_{m_+1} + q^{n-m+1} \sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^s \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+s+1})$

$= \delta_{m+1}^2 + q^{n-m+1}(\delta_{m+1} - \delta_{m+2}) \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+r+1}$

$-(q^{n-m+1})^2 (\sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+r+2})(\sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^s \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+s+1})$

$= \delta_{m+1}^2 + (q^{m-m+1})^2 (\sum_{r=0}^{m+1} (-1)^r \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+r+1})(\sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^s \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+s+1})$

$= \delta_{m+1}^2$.$\square$

It is worth noticing that the assumption (A_0) (i.e. $[N]_q = 0$) is not needed for this lemma. It is needed however for next corollary.

COROLLARY 3 *One has the following identities on* $\oplus_{n\geq m} E^n$

(a) $d_m^{N-1} = \delta_{m+1}^{N-2} d_{m+1}$

(b) $d_{m-1}\delta_m^{N-2} = \delta_{m+1}^{N-2} d_{m+1}$ *for* $m \geq 1$.

Proof. Applying the identity (i) of Lemma 8 on E^n for $p = N-2$, one obtains

$$d_m^{N-1} = \delta_{m+1}^{N-2}(\delta_{m+1} + [N-1]_q q^{n-m+1} \textstyle\sum_{r=0}^{m} (-1)^r \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+r+1}) =$$

$$\delta_{m+1}^{N-2}(\delta_{m+1} - q^{n-m} \textstyle\sum_{r=0}^{m} (-1)^r \mathfrak{f}_{n-m+r+1}) = \delta_{m+1}^{N-2} d_{m+1}$$

by using $0 = [N]_q = 1 + q[N-1]_q$. This proves the identity (a). Similarly, one proves (b) by using the identity (ii) of Lemma 8 on E^n for $p = N-2$ and the fact that, by $(\mathfrak{F})$, one has $\mathfrak{f}_{n+N-2-m+r+2}\ \delta_m^{N-2} = \delta_{m+1}^{N-2}\mathfrak{f}_{n-m+r+2}$ on E^n, (and of course again $[N]_q = 0$, or equivalently $q[N-1]_q = -1$). □

COROLLARY 4 *The operators* d_m *and* δ_m *satisfy* $d_m^N = 0$ *and* $\delta_m^N = 0$ *for any* $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For δ_m, this is classical (see e.g. [Kapr]), namely one shows by induction that $(\mathfrak{F})$ implies $\delta_m^p = [p]_q! \sum_{i_1 > \dots > i_p} q^{i_1 + \dots + i_p - \frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \mathfrak{f}_{i_1} \dots \mathfrak{f}_{i_p}$ where the limit of the sum depends on m and on the degree of the element on which one applies the equality. Thus $[N]_q = 0$ implies $\delta_m^N = 0$.

For d_m, one obtains by applying d_m on the right to both sides of the identity (a) of Corollary 3 and by using the identity (iii) of Lemma 8: $d_m^N = \delta_{m+1}^{N-2} d_{m+1} d_m = \delta_{m+1}^N = 0$ on $\oplus_{n\geq m-1} E^n$. On the other hand if $n < m-1$ then on E^n one has $d_m^2 = d^2 = 0$ which achieves the proof of $d_m^N = 0$ since $N \geq 2$. □

Thus, for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, (E, d_p) is a N-complex. It follows from Corollary 3 that one has on E^n, $d_p^{N-1} = \delta_{p+1}^{N-2} d_{p+1}$ for $n \geq p \geq 0$ and $d_{p+1}^{N-1} = d_p \delta_{p+1}^{N-2}$ for $n \geq p+1 \geq 1$. In other words one has the following commutative diagram (Ψ)

$$(\Psi) \qquad \begin{tikzcd}
E^n \arrow[r, "d_{p+1}"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{n+1} \arrow[r, "d_{p+1}^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_{p+1}^{N-2}"] & E^{n+N} \arrow[r, "d_{p+1}"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{n+N+1} \arrow[r, "d_{p+1}^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_{p+1}^{N-2}"] & \cdots \\
E^n \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-1}"] & E^{n+N-1} \arrow[r, "d_p"] & E^{n+N} \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-1}"] & E^{n+2N-1} \arrow[r, "d_p"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

for $n \geq p \geq 0$.

COROLLARY 5 *The above commutative diagram induces homomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_* : H^{n+1}_{(N-1)}(E, d_{p+1}) &\to H^{n+N-1}_{(1)}(E, d_p), \\
\Psi_* : H^{n+N}_{(1)}(E, d_{p+1}) &\to H^{n+N}_{(N-1)}(E, d_p)
\end{aligned}$$

for any integer n, p with $n \geq p \geq 0$ and, for $p = 0$

$$\Psi_* : H^0_{(1)}(E, d_1) \to H^0_{(N-1)}(E, d_0)$$

Notice that the last homomorphism ("for $p = 0$") follows from the obvious fact that if $p = 0$ or if $p = 1$, one has $H^0_{(m)}(E, d_p) = Z^0_{(m)}(E, d_p)$.

In order to avoid unessential complications in low degrees, we shall truncate the N-complex (E, d_p) by setting $E_p = \oplus_{n \geq p-1} E^n$ with the convention $E^{-1} = 0$ for $p = 0$, (thus $E_0 = E_1 = E$). Clearly (E_p, d_p) is still a N-complex and our aim in the remaining part of this section is to rely its generalized cohomology to the cohomology of the pre-cosimplicial module (E^n) or, more precisely to the cohomology of the truncated complex (E_p, d); one obviously has $H^n(E) = H^n(E_p, d)$, $\forall n \geq p$.

THEOREM 1 *The following diagram of homomorphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r, "d"] & E^{2r+p-1} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta^{N-2}_{2+p-1}\dots\delta^{N-2}_{2r+p-1}"] & E^{2r+p} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta^{N-2}_{2+p}\dots\delta^{N-2}_{2r+p}"] & E^{2(r+1)+p-1} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta^{N-2}_{2+p-1}\dots\delta^{N-2}_{2(r+1)+p-1}"] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-1}"] & E^{Nr+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p"] & E^{Nr+p} \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-1}"] & E^{N(r+1)+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

starting with

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E^{p-1} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{p} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{p+1} \arrow[r, "d"'] \arrow[d, "\delta^{N-2}_{p+1}"] & \cdots \\
E^{p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p"] & E^{p} \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-1}"] & E^{N+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, $\forall p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By Corollary 3 (b), one has $d_p\delta^{N-2}_{2+p-1}\dots\delta^{N-2}_{2r+p-1} = \delta^{N-2}_{2+p}\dots\delta^{N-2}_{2r+p}d_{2r+p}$ and by Corollary 3 (a) and (b), one has

$$d_p^{N-1}\delta^{N-2}_{2+p}\dots\delta^{N-2}_{2r+p} = \delta^{N-2}_{2+p-1}\dots\delta^{N-2}_{2(r+1)+p-1}d_{2r+p+1}.$$

The result follows then from the fact that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_{n+1} = d$ on E^n. $\square$

In Theorem 1, the first row of the diagram is the truncated complex (E_p, d) whereas the second row is the complex $C_{1,p-1}(E_p, d_p)$ associated with the N-complex (E_p, d_p) as defined just before Lemma 7 so the columns of the diagram define an homomorphism of complexes $\Phi_{1,p} : (E_p, d) \to C_{1,p-1}(E_p, d_p)$. By combining this homomorphism with the homomorphism Δ defined before Lemma 7, one obtains for each integer m with $1 \le m \le N-1$ an homomorphism of complexes $\Phi_{m,p} = \Delta^{m-1} \circ \Phi_{1,p} : (E_p, d) \to C_{m,p-1}(E_p, d_p)$. The situation is thus summarized by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{} \arrow[r, "d"] & E^{2r+p-1} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta^{N-2}_{2+p-1}\ldots\delta^{N-2}_{2r+p-1}"] & E^{2r+p} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta^{N-2}_{2+p}\ldots\delta^{N-2}_{2r+p}"] & E^{2(r+1)+p-1} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta^{N-2}_{2+p-1}\ldots\delta^{N-2}_{2(r+1)+p-1}"] & \cdots \\
{} \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-1}"] & E^{Nr+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{Nr+p} \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "d_p^{m-1}"] & E^{N(r+1)+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p"] \arrow[d, "I"] & \cdots \\
{} \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-m}"] & E^{Nr+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p^m"] & E^{Nr+m+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-m}"] & E^{N(r+1)+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p^m"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

starting with

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E^{p-1} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{p} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{p+1} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta^{N-2}_{p+1}"] & \cdots \\
E^{p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{p} \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "d_p^{m-1}"] & E^{N+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p"] \arrow[d, "I"] & \cdots \\
E^{p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p^m"] & E^{m+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-m}"] & E^{N+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p^m"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

COROLLARY 6 *The homomorphism* $\Phi_{m,p}$ *induces canonical homomorphisms of modules*

$$\Phi_{*m,p} : H^{2r+p-1}(E) \longrightarrow H^{Nr+p-1}_{(m)}(E_p, d_p) \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } r \geq 1$$

$$\Phi_{*m,p} : H^{2r+p}(E) \longrightarrow H^{Nr+m+p-1}_{(N-m)}(E_p, d_p) \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{N},$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $m \in \{1, \ldots, N-1\}$*. Furthermore, for* $p = 1$ *one also has a canonical homomorphism* $\Phi_{*m,1} : H^0(E) \to H^0_{(m)}(E, d_1)$*, (i.e. one can take* $r = 0$*), for any* $m \in \{1, \ldots, N-1\}$*.*

Proof. This is just the homomorphisms in (co)homology associated to the homomorphism of complexes. □

Before adding some more assumptions, let us make contact between Theorem 1 and the diagram (Ψ). Since $d_{p+1} = d$ on E^p, in the case $n = p$ one can extend on the left the diagram (Ψ) by adding the beginning of the complex (E, d). More precisely let us fill up the first quadrant, with the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & {} \arrow[d, "I"] & {} \arrow[d, "I"] & {} \arrow[d, "I"] & {} \arrow[d, "I"] & \\
{} \arrow[r, "d"] & E^{p-2} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{p-1} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{p} \arrow[r, "d=d_{p+1}"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{p+1} \arrow[r, "d_{p+1}^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_{p+1}^{N-2}"] & {} \\
{} \arrow[r, "d"] & E^{p-2} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{p-1} \arrow[r, "d=d_p"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{p} \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_p^{N-2}"] & E^{N+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_p"] \arrow[d, "I"] & {} \\
{} \arrow[r, "d"] & E^{p-2} \arrow[r, "d=d_{p-1}"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{p-1} \arrow[r, "d_{p-1}^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_{p-1}^{N-2}"] & E^{N+p-2} \arrow[r, "d_{p-1}"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{N+p-1} \arrow[r, "d_{p-1}^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_{p-1}^{N-2}"] & {} \\
{} \arrow[r, "d=d_{p-2}"] & E^{p-2} \arrow[r, "d_{p-2}^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_{p-2}^{N-2}"] & E^{N+p-3} \arrow[r, "d_{p-2}"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{N+p-2} \arrow[r, "d_{p-2}^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_{p-2}^{N-2}"] & E^{2N+p-3} \arrow[r, "d_{p-2}"] \arrow[d, "I"] & {} \\
 & {} & {} & {} & {} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with corner

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots \arrow[d, "I"] & \vdots \arrow[d, "I"] & \vdots \arrow[d, "I"] & \vdots \arrow[d, "I"] & \\
E^0 \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^1 \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^2 \arrow[r, "d=d_3"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^3 \arrow[r, "d_3^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_3^{N-2}"] & \cdots \\
E^0 \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^1 \arrow[r, "d=d_2"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^2 \arrow[r, "d_2^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_2^{N-2}"] & E^{N+1} \arrow[r, "d_2"] \arrow[d, "I"] & \cdots \\
E^0 \arrow[r, "d=d_1"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^1 \arrow[r, "d_1^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_1^{N-2}"] & E^N \arrow[r, "d_1"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{N+1} \arrow[r, "d_1^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_1^{N-2}"] & \cdots \\
E^0 \arrow[r, "d_0^{N-1}"] & E^{N-1} \arrow[r, "d_0"] & E^N \arrow[r, "d_0^{N-1}"] & E^{2N-1} \arrow[r, "d_0"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

The p-th row is the complex $C_{1,p-1}(E_p, d_p)$ on the right of E^{p-1} for $p \geq 1$ and is the beginning of the complex (E, d) on the left of E^p; it is a complex that we denote by $\bar{C}_p$. The columns between $\bar{C}_{p+1}$ and $\bar{C}_p$ define an homomorphism of complexes $\bar{\Psi}_p : \bar{C}_{p+1} \to \bar{C}_p$ which extends the commutative diagram (Ψ) when $n = p$. It is clear that the columns of the diagram of Theorem 1 are appropriate products of the $\bar{\Psi}_p$'s at appropriate places. In particular, the homomorphisms $\Phi_{*1,p}$ in Corollary 6 are appropriate products of the homomorphisms $\bar{\Psi}_{*p}$ induced in (co)homology by the Ψ_p:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{*1,p} &= \bar{\Psi}_{*p} \circ \cdots \circ \bar{\Psi}_{*2r+p-1} : H^{2r+p-1}(E) &&\to H^{Nr+p-1}_{(1)}(E_p, d_p) \\
\Phi_{*1,p} &= \bar{\Psi}_{*p} \circ \cdots \circ \bar{\Psi}_{*2r+p} : H^{2r+p}(E) &&\to H^{Nr+p}_{(N-1)}(E_p, d_p)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly when $n = p + \ell$ with $1 \leq \ell \leq N - 2$ one can extend on the left the diagram (Ψ) as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E^{p+\ell} \arrow[r, "d_{p+1}"] \arrow[d, "I"] & E^{p+\ell+1} \arrow[r, "d_{p+1}^{N-1}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_{p+1}^{N-2}"] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & E^{p-1+\ell} \arrow[r, "d_p"'] & E^{p+\ell} \arrow[r, "d_p^{N-1}"'] & E^{N+p-1+\ell} \arrow[r, "d_p"'] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

i.e. the first row is the complex $C_{1,p+\ell}\left(E_{p+1}, d_{p+1}\right)$ on the right of $E^{p+\ell}$ extended by the beginning of the 0 complex on the left, etc. This diagram is still commutative, the rows are complexes and the columns define an homomorphism of complexes $\bar{\Psi}_{p,\ell}$ which induces in homology homorphisms

$\bar{\Psi}_{*p,\ell} : H_{(1)}^{Nr+p+\ell}(E_{p+1}, d_{p+1}) \rightarrow H_{(N-1)}^{Nr+p+\ell}(E_p, d_p)$ for $r \geq 1$,

$\bar{\Psi}_{*p,\ell} : H_{(N-1)}^{Nr+p+\ell+1}(E_{p+1}, d_{p+1}) \rightarrow H_{(1)}^{N(r+1)+p-1+\ell}(E_p, d_p)$ for $r \geq 0$,

$\bar{\Psi}_{*p,\ell} : Z_{(1)}^{p+\ell}(E_{p+1}, d_{p+1}) \rightarrow H_{(N-1)}^{p+\ell}(E_p, d_p)$ and

$\bar{\Psi}_{*p,\ell} : 0 \rightarrow Z_{(1)}^{p-1+\ell}(E_p, d_p)$

for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, N-2\}$. This implies in particular that one has the homomorphisms:

$\bar{\Psi}_{*p,\ell} \circ \cdots \circ \bar{\Psi}_{*2r+p,\ell} : 0 \rightarrow H_{(1)}^{Nr+p-1+\ell}(E_p, d_p)$

$\bar{\Psi}_{*p,\ell} \circ \cdots \circ \bar{\Psi}_{*2r+p+1,\ell} : 0 \rightarrow H_{(N-1)}^{Nr+p+\ell}(E_p, d_p)$

for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, N-2\}$.

The above results are more or less optimal in the framework of pre-cosimplicial modules under Assumption (A_0) for $\mathbf{k}$ and $q \in \mathbf{k}$. To go further we shall need Assumption (A_1) for $\mathbf{k}$ and $q \in \mathbf{k}$ and we shall restrict attention to cosimplicial modules [J-LL], [Weib]. A *cosimplicial module* is a pre-cosimplicial module (E^n)

with coface homomorphisms $\mathfrak{f}_i$ as before together with *codegeneracy homomorphisms* $\mathfrak{s}_i : E^{n+1} \rightarrow E^n,\ i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, satisfying

$$(\mathfrak{S}) \qquad \mathfrak{s}_j\mathfrak{s}_i = \mathfrak{s}_i\mathfrak{s}_{j+1} \qquad \text{if } i \leq j$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{F}) \qquad \mathfrak{s}_j\mathfrak{f}_i = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{f}_i\mathfrak{s}_{j-1} & \text{if } \ i < j \\ I & \text{if } \ i = j \text{ or } i = j+1 \\ \mathfrak{f}_{i-1}\mathfrak{s}_j & \text{if } \ i > j+1 \end{cases}$$

Our aim is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2 *If (E^n) is a cosimplicial module and if $\mathbf{k}$ and $q \in \mathbf{k}$ satisfy Assumption (A_1), then the homomorphisms $\Phi_{*m,p}$ of Corollary 6 are isomorphisms.*

Therefore in the remaining part of this section, (E^n) is a fixed cosimplicial module, q is a fixed element of $\mathbf{k}$ such that $[N]_q = 0$ and $[n]_q$ is invertible for $1 \leq n \leq N-1$ and we use the notations previously introduced.
It follows from Corollary 4 that $\delta^N_m = 0$. For $m = 0$, one has $\delta_0 = d_0$ by definition. On the other hand δ_{p+1} vanishes on E^n for $n < p$ therefore we consider the action of δ_{p+1} on $E_{p+1} = \oplus_{n \geq p} E^n$.

LEMMA 9 *For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any integer m with $1 \leq m \leq N-1$, one has $H_{(m)}(E_{p+1}, \delta_{p+1}) = 0$.*

Proof. Define the endomorphism χ_{p+1} of E_{p+1} by $\chi_{p+1}(E^p) = 0$ and by $\chi_{p+1} = q^{-n+p}\mathfrak{s}_{n-p} : E^{n+1} \rightarrow E^n$ for $n \geq p$. By using the relations $(\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{F})$ one obtains $\chi_{p+1}\delta_{p+1} - q^{-1}\delta_{p+1}\chi_{p+1} = Id_{E_{p+1}}$ which implies the result in view of Lemma 5 and of the fact that $\mathbf{k}$ and $q^{-1} \in \mathbf{k}$ satisfy Assumption (A_1). □

LEMMA 10 *Let p, n and m be integers with $n \geq p \geq 0$ and $1 \leq m \leq N-1$ and let x be an element of E^n. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $d_p^m(x) = 0$

(ii) there exists $y \in E^{n+m-N}$ *such that*

$$\delta_{p+1}(x - d_p^{N-m}y) + [m]_q q^{n-p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \mathfrak{f}_{n-p+i+1}(x - d_p^{N-m}y) = 0$$

Proof. By using the identity (*i*) of Lemma 8, $d_p^m(x) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\delta_{p+1}^{m-1}(\delta_{p+1}(x) + [m]_q q^{n-p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \mathfrak{f}_{n-p+i+1}(x)) = 0$$

which is equivalent, by Lemma 9, to

$$\delta_{p+1}(x) + [m]_q q^{n-p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \mathfrak{f}_{n-p+i+1}(x) = -\delta_{p+1}^{N-m+1}(y)$$

for some $y \in E^{n+m-N}$

On the other hand, one has by direct computation

$$\delta_{p+1}(d_p^{N-m}y) + [m]_q q^{n-p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \mathfrak{f}_{n-p+i+1}(d_p^{N-m}y) = \delta_{p+1}^{N-m+1}(y)$$

which achieves the proof of the statement. □

Remark 3. Let us define an endomorphism of degree one $d_{p,m}$ of $\oplus_{n\geq p-1}E^n$ by setting $d_{p,m} = \delta_{p+1} + [m]_q q^{n-p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \mathfrak{f}_{n-p+i+1} : E^n \to E^{n+1}$. One has again $d_{p,m}^N = 0$ and $d_{p,1} = d_p$ whereas $d_{p,N-1} = d_{p+1}$.

COROLLARY 7 *The homomorphisms* Ψ_* *of Corollary 5 are isomomorphisms.*

Proof. Let $x \in E^{n+N}$ be such that $d_p^{N-1}x = 0$. In view of Lemma 10, this is equivalent to the existence of a $y \in E^{n+N-1}$ such that $d_{p+1}(x - d_p y) = 0$ which implies the surjectivity of $\Psi_* : H_{(1)}^{n+N}(E, d_{p+1}) \to H_{(N-1)}^{n+N}(E, d_p)$. If $x = d_p z$, then $d_{p+1}d_p(z - y) = \delta_{p+1}^2(z - y) = 0$ implies $z - y = \delta_{p+1}^{N-2}u$, in view of

Lemma 9, and therefore $d_p(z-y) = d_p\delta_{p+1}^{N-2}u = d_{p+1}^{N-1}u$ which implies that $\Psi_* : H_{(1)}^{n+N}(E, d_{p+1}) \to H_{(N-1)}^{n+N}(E, d_p)$ is also injective and therefore is an isomorphism.

On the other hand let $x \in E^{n+N-1}$ be such that $d_p x = 0$. Then $d_{p+1}d_p x = \delta_{p+1}^2 x = 0$ implies $x = \delta_{p+1}^{N-2}x'$ with $x' \in E^{n+1}$, in view of Lemma 9, and $d_{p+1}^{N-1}x' = 0$ which implies the surjectivity of $\Psi_* : H_{(N-1)}^{n+1}(E, d_{p+1}) \to H_{(1)}^{n+N-1}(E, d_p)$. If $x = d_p^{N-1}y$ then $x = \delta_{p+1}^{N-2}d_{p+1}y$ which implies that $\Psi_* : H_{(N-1)}^{n+1}(E, d_{p+1}) \to H_{(1)}^{n+N-1}(E, d_p)$ is also injective and is therefore an isomorphism.

Finally the fact that $\Psi_* : H_{(1)}^0(E, d_1) \to H_{(N-1)}^0(E, d_0)$ is an isomorphism follows from the injectivity of $\delta_1^{N-2} : E^1 \to E^{N-1}$. $\square$

By using again Lemma 9 and Lemma 10 one deduces easily the following improvement of Corollary 7.

COROLLARY 8 *The homomorphisms* $\bar{\Psi}_{*p}$ $(p \in \mathbb{N})$ *and the homomorphisms* $\bar{\Psi}_{*p,\ell}$ $(p \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \in \{1, \dots, N-2\})$ *are isomorphisms.*

Proof. The only nontrivial isomorphisms which are not contained in Corollary 7 are:

$\bar{\Psi}_{*p} : H^p(E) \overset{\simeq}{\to} H_{(N-1)}^p(E_p, d_p)$

$\bar{\Psi}_{*p,\ell} : 0 \overset{\simeq}{\to} Z_{(1)}^{p-1+\ell}(E_p, d_p)$

$\bar{\Psi}_{*p,\ell} : Z_{(1)}^{p+\ell}(E_{p+1}, d_{p+1}) \overset{\simeq}{\to} H_{(N-1)}^{p+\ell}(E_p, d_p)$

for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, N-2\}$.

Let $x\in E^p$ be such that $d^{N-1}_p x=0$. Then by Lemma 10, there is a $y\in E^{p-1}$ for which $d_{p+1}(x-d_py)=d(x-dy)=0$ which implies that $\bar\Psi_{\ast p}:H^p(E)\rightarrow H^p_{(N-1)}(E_p,d_p)$ is surjective and therefore bijective since the injectivity is a tautology in view of $d=d_p$ on E^{p-1}.
Let $x\in E^{p-1+\ell}$ with $1\leq \ell\leq N-2$ be such that $d_px=0$. Then $d_{p+1}d_px=\delta^2_{p+1}x=0$ which implies $x=0$ in view of Lemma 9 and $p\leq p-1+\ell<p+N-2$. Finally let $x\in E^{p+\ell}$ with $1\leq \ell\leq N-2$ be such that $d^{N-1}_px=0$. Then, again by Lemma 10, there is a $y\in E^{p+\ell-1}$ for which $d_{p+1}(x-d_py)=0$ which implies (by changing p in $p+1$) $x=d_py$ in view of above. This completes the proof of Corollary 8. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. Corollary 8 implies that the homomorphisms $\Phi_{\ast 1,p}$ of Corollary 6 are isomorphisms and that one has:

$$H^{Nr+p-1+m}_{(1)}(E_p,d_p)=H^{Nr+p+m}_{(N-1)}(E_p,d_p)=0,\quad \forall m\in\{1,\dots,N-2\}.$$

This implies, in view of Corollary 2 ($(ii)\Leftrightarrow(iii)$) that the $\Delta_\ast$ induce isomorphisms implying that the $\Phi_{\ast m,p}$ are isomorphisms $\forall m\in\{1,\dots,N-1\}$. $\square$

By using the equivalence $(iii)\Leftrightarrow(i)$ of Corollary 2, one can now completely describe the homologies of the N-complexes (E_p,d_p) in terms of the (co)homology of the cosimplicial module (E^n).

THEOREM 3 *One has the following isomorphisms*
$H^{Nr+p-1}_{(m)}(E_p,d_p)\simeq H^{2r+p-1}(E)$ *for* $r\in\mathbb{N}$ *with* $r\geq 1$
$H^{N(r+1)-m+p-1}_{(m)}(E_p,d_p)\simeq H^{2r+p}(E)$ *for* $r\in\mathbb{N}$

$H^{p-1}_{(m)}(E_p, d_p) \simeq \ker(d : E^{p-1} \to E^p)$

and $H^n_{(m)}(E_p, d_p) = 0$ *otherwise (i.e. if* $n \neq p - 1 mod(N)$ *and* $n + m \neq p - 1 mod(N)$*).*

Notice however that Theorem 2 is stronger than Theorem 3 in that it gives explicitely the isomorphisms.

Remark 4. The above results hold more generally under Assumption (A_0) for $\mathbf{k}$ and $q \in \mathbf{k}$ (i.e. $[N]_q = 0$) for a pre-cosimplicial module E if we add the assumption $H_{(m)}(E_{p+1}, \delta_{p+1}) = 0, \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\forall m \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$. However this latter assumption is rather technical; it is why we have chosen to deduce it from Assumption (A_1) in the cosimplicial framework (Lemma 9).

In the case of a simplicial module (E'_n), one can proceed similarily by introducing N-differentials d'_p and δ'_p of degrees -1 and one obtains similar results; the only difference being the replacement of the indices (m) by $(N-m)$ and of course $E'_{p-1}/d'(E'_p)$ instead of $\ker(d : E^{p-1} \to E^p)$, (these replacements correspond to the duality).

The N-differential d_0 as well as its dual d'_0 have been considered in several contexts [May], [Kapr], [D-VK], [MD-V], [KW]; it is very natural. The N-differential d_1 was considered in [D-VK], [MD-V]; in situations where one has products it is also very natural since it satisfies a q-twisted version of the graded Leibniz rule (see below). In these cases ($p = 0, 1$), $E_p = E$ and one does not need to distinguish the degree $p - 1$. Let us summarize the result (i.e. Theorem 3 and its dual version) for these cases.

THEOREM 4 *Let* $\mathbf{k}$ *and* $q \in \mathbf{k}$ *satisfy Assumption* (A_1)*, let* E *be a cosimplicial module and let* E' *be a simplicial module. Then one has:*

(0) $H^{Nr-1}_{(m)}(E, d_0) = H^{2r-1}(E)$, $H^{N(r+1)-m-1}_{(m)}(E, d_0) = H^{2r}(E)$ *and* $H^n_{(m)}(E, d_0) = 0$ *otherwise,*

(0′) $H_{(m),Nr-1}(E', d'_0) = H_{2r-1}(E'), H_{(m),Nr+m-1}(E', d'_0) = H_{2r}(E')$ *and* $H_{(m),n}(E', d'_0) = 0$ *otherwise,*

(1) $H^{Nr}_{(m)}(E, d_1) = H^{2r}(E), H^{N(r+1)-m}_{(m)}(E, d_1) = H^{2r+1}(E)$ *and* $H^n_{(m)}(E, d_1) = 0$ *otherwise,*

(1′) $H_{(m),Nr}(E', d'_1) = H_{2r}(E'), H_{(m),Nr+m}(E', d'_1) = H_{2r+1}(E')$ *and* $H_{(m),n}(E', d'_1) = 0$ *otherwise,*

for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $m \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$.

Let N be a prime number, then $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ and $q = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ satisfy Assumption (A_1). By applying the statement (0′) of Theorem 4 to the simplicial module over $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ associated to a simplicial complex (with $q = 1$), one obtains an improvement of the results of [May]. In fact, applied to this situation, Statement (0′) of Theorem 4 implies the results of [May] and the complete identification of the generalized homology in terms of the ordinary homology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ of the simplicial complex.

Let $\mathbf{k}$ and $q \in \mathbf{k}$ satisfy Assumption (A_1), let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative unital $\mathbf{k}$-algebra and let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}$ bimodule. It is well known [J-LL], [Weib] that the complex of $\mathcal{M}$-valued Hochschild cochains of $\mathcal{A}$ is the complex of a cosimplicial module. Applied to this case, Statement (1) of Theorem 4 is exactly the result announced in [MD-V] expressing the generalized cohomology in terms of the Hochschild cohomology. Dualy, the complex of Hochschild chains of $\mathcal{A}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}$ is the complex of a simplicial module and Theorem 1 of [KW] is Statement $(0')$ of Theorem 4 applied to this case with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}^*$.

Borrowing a sentence from Kassel and Wambst, (in the introduction of [KW]), we can say that Theorem 3 and its dual version provide alternative exotical definitions of the (co)homology of a (co)simplicial module, (whenever there is a $q \in \mathbf{k}$ such that Assumption (A_1) is satisfied).

4 Generalization of graded differential algebras

Throughout this section q is a fixed element of $\mathbf{k}$ such that Assumption (A_1) is satisfied, i.e. $[N]_q = 0$ and $[n]_q$ is invertible in $\mathbf{k}$ for any $n \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$. Let us introduce the following definition [D-VK], [MD-V]. A *graded q-differential algebra* is a $\mathbb{N}$-graded associative unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{A}^n$ equipped with a $\mathbf{k}$ -linear endomorphism d of degree 1, its *q-differential*, satisfying $d^N = 0$ and the *graded q-Leibniz rule*:

$$d(\alpha\beta) = d(\alpha)\beta + q^a \alpha d(\beta), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}^a \text{ and } \forall \beta \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Thus, $(\mathfrak{A}, d)$ is in particular a positive (cochain) N-complex.
This is clearly a generalization of the notion of graded differential algebra since for $N = 2$ and $q = -1$ it reduces to it, (for $N = 2$, $\mathbf{k}$ and $-1 \in \mathbf{k}$ obviously satisfy Assumption (A_1)).

The graded q-Leibniz rule implies that $Z_{(1)}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a graded unital subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ and that $B_{(1)}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a graded two-sided ideal of $Z_{(1)}(\mathfrak{A})$. Thus $H_{(1)}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a $\mathbb{N}$-graded associative unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra.

One defines in an obvious manner the notions of homomorphisms of graded q-differential algebras, of graded q-differential subalgebras, etc.

In the present context, graduations over $\mathbb{Z}_N = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ are also very natural, (see e.g. Example 1 below), therefore let us define a *$\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded q-differential algebra* to be a $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded associative unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra equipped with a q-differential satisfying the above axioms. A graded q-differential algebra as defined before can be considered as a $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded q-differential algebra by retaining only the degree modulo N and we speak then of the *underlying* $\mathbb{Z}_N$*-graded* q*-differential algebra* for the latter. On the other hand let $\mathfrak{A} = \oplus_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_N}\mathfrak{A}^p$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded algebra and let $n \mapsto p(n)$ be the canonical projection of $\mathbb{N}$ onto $\mathbb{Z}_N$. One can associate to $\mathfrak{A}$ a $\mathbb{N}$-graded algebra $p^*\mathfrak{A} = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\ p^*\mathfrak{A}^n$ in the following manner. An homogeneous element of $p^*\mathfrak{A}$ is a pair $(n,\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathfrak{A}^p$ with $p(n) = p \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and we identify $p^*\mathfrak{A}^n = (n, \mathfrak{A}^{p(n)})$ with the $\mathbf{k}$ -module $\mathfrak{A}^{p(n)}$, the product in $p^*\mathfrak{A}$ being defined by $(m,\alpha)(n,\beta) = (m+n, \alpha\beta)$. The canonical projection $\pi : p^*\mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ defined by $\pi(n,\alpha) = \alpha$ is an homomorphism of the $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded algebra underlying to $p^*\mathfrak{A}$ onto $\mathfrak{A}$ and the pair $(p^*\mathfrak{A}, \pi)$ is characterized by the following universal property: For any $\mathbb{N}$-graded algebra Ω and for any homomorphism φ of $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded algebras of the underlying $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded algebra to Ω into $\mathfrak{A}$ there is a unique homomorphism of $\mathbb{N}$-graded algebras $\bar{\varphi} : \Omega \to p^*\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\varphi = \pi\circ\bar{\varphi}$. If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded q-differential algebra then there is a unique q-differential on $p^*\mathfrak{A}$ such that π is an homomorphims of the underlying $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded q-differential algebra of $p^*\mathfrak{A}$ onto $\mathfrak{A}$.

In what follows we give some examples of constructions of graded q-differential algebras.

Construction 1: q-derivations.

Let $\mathfrak{B} = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathfrak{B}^n$ be a $\mathbb{N}$-graded associative unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra and let d be a $\mathbf{k}$ -linear mapping of degree 1 of $\mathfrak{B}$ into itself which satisfies the graded q-Leibniz rule; we shall refer to such a d as a *q-derivation of degree* 1 of $\mathfrak{B}$. Then, by using the inductive definition of the q-binomial coefficients $\left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array}\right]_q$ (see in Section 1), one obtains by induction on n:

$$d^n(\alpha\beta) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} q^{a(n-m)} \left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array}\right]_q d^m(\alpha)d^{n-m}(\beta),\ \forall\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}^a \text{ and } \forall\beta \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

On the other hand it follows from $[N]_q = 0$ and from the invertibility of $[m]_q$ for $m \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ that one has $\left[\begin{array}{c} N \\ m \end{array}\right]_q = 0$, $\forall m \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$. This implies that d^N is a homogeneous derivation of $\mathfrak{B}$ (i.e. $d^N(\alpha\beta) = d^N(\alpha)\beta + \alpha d^N(\beta)$). It follows that $\ker(d^N)$ is a graded unital subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}$ which is stable by d and therefore that $\mathfrak{A} = \ker(d^N)$ equipped with d is a graded q-differential algebra. This is in fact the very reason of the compatibility of $d^N = 0$ with the graded q-Leibniz rule for d under Assumption (A_1). This provides the construction of a graded q-differential algebra by starting from a q-derivation of degree 1.

There is an easy way to produce such a q-derivation of degree 1 of $\mathfrak{B}$ by starting from an element e of $\mathfrak{B}^1$: Define the $\mathbf{k}$ -linear mapping $ad_q(e)$ of $\mathfrak{B}$ into itself by setting $ad_q(e)(\alpha) = e\alpha - q^a\alpha e$, $\forall\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}^a$; then it is immediate that $ad_q(e)$ satisfies the graded q-Leibniz rule. The q-derivations of degree 1 obtained by this construction will be refered to as the *inner q-derivations* of degree 1 of $\mathfrak{B}$. By induction on n, one obtains:

$$(ad_q(e))^n(\alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{n}(-1)^m q^{ma+\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q e^{n-m}\alpha e^m, \ \ \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{B}^a$$

In view of Assumption (A_1), $\begin{bmatrix} N \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = 0$ for $m \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ so one has $ad_q(e)^N(\alpha) = e^N\alpha + (-1)^N q^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}\alpha e^N$. By using again Assumption (A_1) one can show that $(-1)^N q^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} = -1$; this is obvious if N is odd and for N even, this follows from the invertibility of $[N/2]_q$ which implies $q^{N/2} = -1$. Thus one has $(ad_q(e))^N = ad(e^N)$, i.e. $(ad_q(e))^N(\alpha) = e^N\alpha - \alpha e^N$ and therefore $\ker((ad_q(e))^N)$ is the commutant $\{e^N\}'$ of e^N in $\mathfrak{B}$. In particular, if e is an element of degree 1 of $\mathfrak{A}$ such that e^N is central, then $ad_q(e)$ is a q-differential on $\mathfrak{A}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{A}$ equipped with $d = ad_q(e)$ is a graded q-differential algebra. Before giving an example of this construction, let us generalize the notion q-derivation of degree 1.

A *q-derivation of degree $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$* of $\mathfrak{B}$ is an homogeneous $\mathbf{k}$ -linear mapping $L : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{B}$ of degree ℓ such that :

$$L(\alpha\beta) = L(\alpha)\beta + q^{\ell a}\alpha L(\beta), \ \ \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{B}^a \text{ and } \forall \beta \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

The module of all q-derivations of degree ℓ of $\mathfrak{B}$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{Der}^\ell_q(\mathfrak{B})$ and the direct sum $\mathrm{Der}_q(\mathfrak{B}) = \oplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Der}^\ell_q(\mathfrak{B})$ will be refered to as the module of *graded q-derivations* of $\mathfrak{B}$. Again, there is an easy way to produce a q-derivation of degree $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ by starting from an element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{B}^\ell$: Define the $\mathbf{k}$ -linear mapping $ad_q(\lambda)$ of $\mathfrak{B}$ into itself by setting $ad_q(\lambda)(\alpha) = \lambda\alpha - q^{\ell a}\alpha\lambda, \ \ \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{B}^a$; one easily verifies that $ad_q(\lambda)$ is a q-derivation of degree ℓ of $\mathfrak{B}$. The direct sum $Int_q(\mathfrak{B}) = \oplus_\ell ad_q(\mathfrak{B}^\ell)$ is a graded submodule of $\mathrm{Der}_q(\mathfrak{B})$ which will be refered to as the module of *inner graded q-derivations*. Notice that there is no natu-

ral graded bilinear bracket on $\mathrm{Der}_q(\mathfrak{B})$ for $q \neq 1$ and $q \neq -1$. This does not mean that there are no multilinear operation, for instance the above formulae imply that the symmetrized composition of N q-derivations of degree one is a q-derivation of degree N.
All this obviously also applies to the $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded case.

Example 1: Matrix algebra.

Let us introduce the standard basis E^k_ℓ, $(k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, N\})$, of the algebra $M_N(\mathbf{k}\ )$ of $N \times N$ matrices defined by $(E^k_\ell)^i_j = \delta^k_j \delta^i_\ell$. One has $E^k_\ell E^r_s = \delta^k_s E^r_\ell$ and $\sum^N_{n=1} E^n_n = \mathbb{1}$. It follows that one can equip $M_N(\mathbf{k}\ )$ with a structure of $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded algebra by giving to E^k_ℓ the degree $k - \ell \bmod(N)$.
Let $e = \lambda_1 E^2_1 + \dots + \lambda_{N-1} E^N_{N-1} + \lambda_N E^1_N$, $(\lambda_i \in \mathbf{k}\ )$, be an arbitrary element of degree one of $M_N(\mathbf{k}\ )$. One has $e^N = \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N \mathbb{1}$ and therefore e^N is in the center of $M_N(\mathbf{k}\ )$ so one defines a q-differential d on $M_N(k)$ by setting $d(A) = eA - q^a Ae$ for $A \in M_N(\mathbf{k}\ )^a$. Equipped with the above structures $M_N(\mathbf{k}\ )$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded q-differential algebra and therefore $p^\ast M_N(\mathbf{k}\ )$ is a graded q-differential algebra.

Construction 2: (pre-)cosimplicial algebras.

Let $(E^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a pre-cosimplicial module (over $\mathbf{k}$) with coface homomorphisms $\mathfrak{f}_i : E^n \rightarrow E^{n+1}$ as before. We shall say that (E^n) is a *pre-cosimplicial algebra* if the $\mathbb{N}$-graded module $E = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E^n$ is equipped with a structure of $\mathbb{N}$-graded associative unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra compatible with its structure of graded module and such that one has $\forall \alpha \in E^a$, $\forall \beta \in E^b$

$$(\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}_1) \qquad \mathfrak{f}_i(\alpha\beta) = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \mathfrak{f}_i(\alpha)\beta & \text{if} & i \leq a \\ \alpha\mathfrak{f}_{i-a}(\beta) & \text{if} & i > a \end{array} \right. , i \in \{0, \dots, a+b+1\}$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}_2) \qquad \mathfrak{f}_{a+1}(\alpha)\beta = \alpha\mathfrak{f}_0(\beta)$$

where $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha\beta$ denote the product of E. If furthermore (E^n) is a cosimplicial module (i.e. if one has the codegeneracy homomorphisms) then (E^n) will be called a *cosimplicial algebra* whenever one has $\forall \alpha \in E^a$, $\forall \beta \in E^b$

$$(\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{S}) \qquad \mathfrak{s}_i(\alpha\beta) = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \mathfrak{s}_i(\alpha)\beta & \text{if} & i < a \\ \alpha\mathfrak{s}_{i-a}(\beta) & \text{if} & i \geq a \end{array} \right. , i \in \{0, \dots, a+b-1\}$$

Let the N-differential d_1 of E be defined as in Section 3, i.e. $d_1 = \sum_{i=0}^n q^i \mathfrak{f}_i - q^n \mathfrak{f}_{n+1} : E^n \to E^{n+1}$. Then one has the following result.

PROPOSITION 3 *Let (E^n) be a pre-cosimplicial algebra. Then $E = \oplus_n E^n$ equipped with d_1 is a graded q-differential algebra.*

Proof. Since, from Corollary 4, we know that $d_1^N = 0$ it is sufficient to prove that d_1 satisfies the graded q-Leibniz rule. Let $\alpha \in E^a$ and $\beta \in E^b$, one has $d_1(\alpha\beta) = \sum_{i=0}^{a+b} q^i \mathfrak{f}_i(\alpha\beta) - q^{a+b}\mathfrak{f}_{a+b+1}(\alpha\beta)$ which can be rewritten by applying $(\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}_1)$ as

$d_1(\alpha\beta) = \sum_{i=0}^a q^i \mathfrak{f}_i(\alpha)\beta + q^a \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^b q^j \mathfrak{f}_j(\beta) - q^b \mathfrak{f}_{b+1}(\beta) \right)$ and, by using $(\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}_2)$

$d_1(\alpha\beta) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^a q^i \mathfrak{f}_i(\alpha) - q^a \mathfrak{f}_{a+1}(\alpha) \right) \beta + q^a \alpha \left(\sum_{j=0}^b q^j \mathfrak{f}_j(\beta) - q^b \mathfrak{f}_{b+1}(\beta) \right)$ i.e.,

$d_1(\alpha\beta) = d_1(\alpha)\beta + q^a \alpha d_1(\beta)$.$\square$

Applied to the case $N = 2$, $q = -1$, Proposition 3 implies that E equipped with the usual d is a graded differential algebra, which implies in particular that the usual cohomology $H(E)$ of (E^n) is a $\mathbb{N}$-graded associative unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra.

In the case where (E^n) is a cosimplicial algebra, Theorem 4 (1) implies that $H^{Nr}_{(m)}(E, d_1) = H^{2r}(E)$, $H^{N(r+1)-m}_{(m)}(E, d_1) = H^{2r+1}(E)$ and $H^n_{(m)}(E, d_1) = 0$ otherwise.

Warning. $H_{(1)}(E, d_1)$ is an algebra (as shown above) as well as $H(E)$. However, in spite of the fact that the module $H_{(1)}(E, d_1)$ does only depend on the module $H(E)$ in the cosimplicial case, the algebra structures are not the same e.g. $H^{N(r+1)-1}_{(1)}(E, d_1) = H^{2r+1}(E)$ and $H^{N(s+1)-1}_{(1)}(E, d_1) = H^{2s+1}(E)$ but $H^{N(r+1)-1}_{(1)} H^{N(s+1)-1}_{(1)} \subset H^{N(r+s+2)-2}_{(1)} = 0$ if $N \geq 3$ although $H^{2r+1}(E) H^{2s+1}(E)$ can be $\neq 0$.

Example 2: Simplicial forms.

Let K be a simplicial complex, i.e. K is a set equipped with a set $\mathcal{S}$ of non-empty subsets such that $X \in \mathcal{S}$ and $Y \subset X$ with $Y \neq \emptyset$ implies $Y \in \mathcal{S}$ and such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$, $\forall x \in K$. An *ordered n-simplex* ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is a sequence $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ with $x_i \in K$ such that $\mathrm{Im}(x) = \cup^n_{i=0}\{x_i\} \in \mathcal{S}$. A $\mathbf{k}$ *-valued simplicial n-form* is a $\mathbf{k}$ -valued function $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \omega(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ on the set of ordered n-simplices. Let $\Omega^n(K, \mathbf{k}\ )$ denote the $\mathbf{k}$ -module of all $\mathbf{k}$ -valued simplicial n-forms. The graded module $\Omega(K, \mathbf{k}\ ) = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega^n(K, \mathbf{k}\ )$ is a $\mathbb{N}$-graded associative $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra for the product $(\alpha,\beta)\mapsto\alpha\beta$ defined by $(\alpha\beta)(x_0,\dots,x_{a+b})=\alpha(x_0,\dots,x_a)\beta(x_a,\dots,x_{a+b})$ for $\alpha\in\Omega^a(K,\mathbf{k}\ )$, $\beta\in\Omega^b(K,\mathbf{k}\ )$ and any ordered $(a+b)$-simplex $(x_0,\dots,x_{a+b})$. One verifies easily that $(\Omega^n(K,\mathbf{k}\ ))$ is a cosimplicial algebra with $\mathfrak{f}_i$, $\mathfrak{s}_i$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}_i(\omega)(x_0,\dots,x_{n+1})=\omega(x_0,\overset{\mathrm{i}}{\overset{\vee}{\dots}},x_{n+1})$ $\forall i\in\{0,\dots,n+1\}$ (where $\overset{\mathrm{i}}{\overset{\vee}{\cdot}}$ means omission of x_i) and $\mathfrak{s}_i(\omega)(x_0,\dots,x_{n-1})=\omega(x_0,\dots,x_i,x_i,\dots,x_{n-1})$ $\forall i\in\{0,\dots,n-1\}$ for $\omega\in\Omega^n(K,\mathbf{k}\ )$ and any ordered $(n+1)$-simplex $(x_0,\dots,x_{n+1})$ and any ordered $(n-1)$-simplex $(x_0,\dots,x_{n-1})$. It follows that equipped with d_1, $\Omega(K,\mathbf{k}\ )$ is a graded q-differential algebra. Notice that d_1 is then given by :

$$d_1(\omega)(x_0,\dots,x_{n+1})=\sum_{i=o}^{n}q^i\omega(x_0,\overset{\mathrm{i}}{\overset{\vee}{\dots}},x_{n+1})-q^n\omega(x_0,\dots,x_n)$$

for $\omega\in\Omega^n(K,\mathbf{k}\ )$ and any ordered $(n+1)$-simplex $(x_0,\dots,x_{n+1})$.

According to Theorem 4 (1) one has :

$$H^{Nr}_{(m)}(\Omega(K,\mathbf{k}\ ),d_1)=H^{2r}(K,\mathbf{k}\ ),\quad H^{N(r+1)-m}_{(m)}(\Omega(K,\mathbf{k}\ ),d_1)=H^{2r+1}(K,\mathbf{k}\ )$$

and $H^n_{(m)}(\Omega(K,\mathbf{k}\ ),d_1)=0$ otherwise, where $H(K,\mathbf{k}\ )$ denotes the usual cohomology of the simplicial complex with coefficients in $\mathbf{k}$.

Example 3: Hochschild cochains.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra and let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}$ bimodule. Recall that a *$\mathcal{M}$-valued Hochschild cochain* of degree n ($n\in\mathbb{N}$) is a n-linear mapping of $\underbrace{\mathcal{A}\times\dots\times\mathcal{A}}_{n}$ into $\mathcal{M}$. The $\mathbf{k}$ -module of all $\mathcal{M}$-valued Hochschild cochains is denoted by $C^n(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$. The sequence $(C^n(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M}))$ is a cosimplicial module with cofaces $\mathfrak{f}_i$ and codegeneracies $\mathfrak{s}_i$ defined by [J-LL], [Weib]

$\mathfrak{f}_0(\omega)(x_0,\dots,x_n) = x_0\omega(x_1,\dots,x_n)$

$\mathfrak{f}_i(\omega)(x_0,\dots,x_n) = \omega(x_0,\dots,x_{i-1}x_i,\dots,x_n)$ for $i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$

$\mathfrak{f}_{n+1}(\omega)(x_0,\dots,x_n) = \omega(x_0,\dots,x_{n-1})x_n$

and

$\mathfrak{s}_i(\omega)(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) = \omega(x_1,\dots,x_i,\mathbb{1},x_{i+1},\dots,x_{n-1})$ for $i \in \{0,\dots,n-1\}$

for $\omega \in C^n(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$ and $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$.

Thus $C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M}) = \oplus_n C^n(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$ equipped with d_1 is a N-complex and one has $H^{Nr}_{(m)}(C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M}),d_1) = H^{2r}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$, $H^{N(r+1)-m}_{(m)}(C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M}),d_1) = H^{2r+1}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$ and $H^n_{(m)}(C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M}),d_1) = 0$ otherwise, where $H(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$ denote the usual Hochschild cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$ with values in $\mathcal{M}$. The N-differential d_1 being given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(\omega)(x_0,\dots,x_n) &= x_0\omega(x_1,\dots,x_n) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n q^i\omega(x_0,\dots,x_{i-1}x_i,\dots,x_n) - q^n\omega(x_0,\dots,x_{n-1})x_n \end{aligned}$$

for $\omega \in C^n(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$ and $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$. This N-differential has been introduced in [D-VK] with another notation, $(d_1 \mapsto \delta_q)$.

In the case $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$, $C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$ has a natural structure of $\mathbb{N}$-graded associative unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra with product $(\alpha,\beta) \mapsto \alpha\beta$ given by

$$\alpha\beta(x_1,\dots,x_{a+b}) = \alpha(x_1,\dots,x_a)\beta(x_{a+1},\dots,x_{a+b}),$$

for $\alpha \in C^a(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\ \beta \in C^b(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}), x_i \in \mathcal{A}$.

It is easily verified that $(C^n(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}))$ is a cosimplicial algebra and therefore it follows that $(C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),d_1)$ is a graded q-differential algebra.

Example 4: The tensor algebra over $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A}$.

Let again $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra and let us denote by $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A}) = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathfrak{T}^n(\mathcal{A})$ the tensor algebra over $\mathcal{A}$ of the $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}$ bimodule $\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A}$, ($\otimes$ always means the tensor product over $\mathbf{k}$). This is a $\mathbb{N}$-graded associative unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra with $\mathfrak{T}^n(\mathcal{A}) = \otimes^{n+1}\mathcal{A}$ and product $(x_0\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n)(y_0\otimes\cdots\otimes y_m) = x_0\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{n-1}\otimes x_ny_0\otimes y_1\otimes\cdots\otimes y_m$ for $x_i, y_j\in\mathcal{A}$. One defines a structure of cosimplicial module for $(\mathfrak{T}^n(\mathcal{A}))$ by setting

$\mathfrak{f}_0(x_0\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n) = \mathbb{1}\otimes x_0\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n$

$\mathfrak{f}_i(x_0\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n) = x_0\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{i-1}\otimes\mathbb{1}\otimes x_i\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n$ for $1\leq i\leq n$

$\mathfrak{f}_{n+1}(x_o\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n) = x_0\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n\otimes\mathbb{1}$

and

$\mathfrak{s}_i(x_0\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n) = x_0\otimes\cdots\otimes x_ix_{i+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n$ for $0\leq i\leq n-1$

One shows easily that with the above structures, $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ is a cosimplicial algebra and therefore, $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ equipped with the N-differential d_1 is a graded q-differential algebra. It follows (Theorem 4 (1)) that the $H^n_{(m)}(\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A}), d_1)$ can be computed in terms of the cohomology $H^n(\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A}))$ of the cosimplicial module $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. However we shall give later a direct proof of the triviality of these generalized cohomologies whenever $\mathcal{A}$ admits a linear form ω satisfying $\omega(\mathbb{1}) = 1$ by using Lemma 5.

In this case d_1 is given by

$$d_1(x_0\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} q^i x_0\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{i-1}\otimes\mathbb{1}\otimes x_i\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n - q^n x_0\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n\otimes\mathbb{1}$$

so it coincides with the q-differential of $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ introduced in [D-VK], [MD-V].

As pointed out in [D-VK], the graded algebra $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ can be characterized by a universal property. Indeed $\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A}$ is the free $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}$ bimodule generated by $\tau=\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1}$, hence $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ is the $\mathbb{N}$-graded associative $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}$ in degree 0 and by a free generator τ of degree 1; $x_0\otimes\dots\otimes x_n=x_0\tau x_1\dots\tau x_n$. This implies the following result [D-VK].

PROPOSITION 4 *Let $\mathfrak{A}=\oplus_n\mathfrak{A}^n$ be a $\mathbb{N}$-graded associative unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra. Then for any homomorphism of unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras $\varphi:\mathcal{A}\rightarrow\mathfrak{A}^0$ and for any element α of $\mathfrak{A}^1$, there is a unique homomorphism of graded unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras $\mathfrak{T}_{\varphi,\alpha}:\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})\rightarrow\mathfrak{A}$ which extends φ and is such that $\mathfrak{T}_{\varphi,\alpha}(\tau)=\alpha$, $(\tau=\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1}\in\mathfrak{T}^1(\mathcal{A}))$.*

By applying this result to the case where $\mathfrak{A}=C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$, (Example 3), where φ is the identity mapping of $\mathcal{A}$ onto itself considered as an homomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ into $C^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})(=\mathcal{A})$ and where α is again the identity mapping of $\mathcal{A}$ onto itself considered as an element of $C^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$, one obtains the canonical homomorphism $\Psi=\mathfrak{T}_{\varphi,\alpha}:\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})\rightarrow C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$ of graded unital algebras defined in [Mass] which reads

$$\Psi(x_0\otimes\dots\otimes x_n)(y_1,\dots,y_n)=x_0y_1x_1\dots y_nx_n,\quad \text{for} x_i,y_j\in\mathcal{A}.$$

PROPOSITION 5 *The above homomorphism Ψ is an homomorphism of cosimplicial algebras, i.e. one has $\Psi\circ\mathfrak{f}_i=\mathfrak{f}_i\circ\Psi$ and $\Psi\circ\mathfrak{s}_i=\mathfrak{s}_i\circ\Psi$ with obvious notations.*

This statement is easy to check. This implies in particular that one has $\Psi\circ d_1=d_1\circ\Psi$ as well as $\Psi\circ d=d\circ\Psi$ where the usual cosimplicial differential

d is the ordinary Hochschild differential on $C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$.

As pointed out in [D-VK] (with a slightly different formulation), the q-differential d_1 on $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ is the unique q-derivation of degree 1 of $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ such that one has $d_1(x) = \mathbb{1}\otimes x - x\otimes \mathbb{1}$ for $x\in\mathcal{A}$ and $d_1(\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1}(= (\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1})^2)$.
By induction on the integer n, one shows that

$$d_1^n(\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1}) = [n]_q!(\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1})^{n+1} = [n]_q!\ \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(n+2)}$$

and

$$d_1^n(x) = [n]_q!(\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1})^{n-1}d(x) = [n]_q!\ \mathbb{1}^{\otimes n}d(x)$$

for $x\in\mathcal{A}$ with $d(x) = \mathbb{1}\otimes x - x\otimes\mathbb{1} = d_1(x)$.

Remark 5. One can extend the setting of pre-cosimplicial algebras in a framework of monoidal categories of pre-cosimplicial modules. More precisely let E, F and G be 3 pre-cosimplicial modules with coface homomorphisms denoted by $\mathfrak{f}_i$ and assume that one has bilinear mappings $\cup : E^a\times F^b\rightarrow G^{a+b}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{f}_i(\alpha\cup\beta) = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{f}_i(\alpha)\cup\beta & \text{if}\ \ i\leq a \\ \alpha\cup\mathfrak{f}_{i-a}(\beta) & \text{if}\ \ i>a \end{array}\right.,\quad i\in\{0,\dots,a+b+1\}$$

and such that

$$\mathfrak{f}_{a+1}(\alpha)\cup\beta = \alpha\cup\mathfrak{f}_0(\beta),\quad \forall\alpha\in E^a\ \ \text{and}\ \ \forall\beta\in F^b.$$

Then one has $d_1(\alpha\cup\beta) = d_1(\alpha)\cup\beta + q^a\alpha\cup d_1(\beta)$, with d_1 defined as in Section 3. This applies in particular to a generalization of Example 2 by taking for E the simplicial forms with coefficients in a $\mathbf{k}$ -module $\mathcal{E}$, for F the simplicial forms with coefficients in a $\mathbf{k}$ -module $\mathcal{F}$ and for G the simplicial forms with coefficients in the $\mathbf{k}$ -module $\mathcal{E}\otimes\mathcal{F}$, $\cup$ being then the tensor product over $\mathbf{k}$ combined with the product of simplicial forms. This also applies to Hochschild cochains by taking $E = C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$, $F = C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{N})$ and $G = C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{N})$ where $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}$ bimodules; the bilinear mapping $\cup$ is then the tensor product over $\mathcal{A}$ and corresponds to the usual cup product, (see in [D-VK]).

Construction 3: Universal q-differential envelopes.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative unital $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra and consider the following category $q_{\mathcal{A}}$. An object of $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a graded q-differential algebra $\Omega = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\Omega^n$ together with an homomorphism of unital algebras $\varphi : \mathcal{A}\to\Omega^0$; a morphism of (Ω,φ) into (Ω',φ') is an homomorphism $\psi : \Omega\to\Omega'$ of graded q-differential algebras such that $\varphi' = \psi\circ\varphi : \mathcal{A}\to\Omega'^0$. An object of $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ will be refered to as a *q-differential calculus for $\mathcal{A}$*. An important property of $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is that it possesses an initial universal object. Namely there exist a graded q-differential algebra $\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})$ and an homomorphism of unital algebras φ_q of $\mathcal{A}$ into $\Omega^0_q(\mathcal{A})$ such that, for any q-differential calculus (Ω,φ) for $\mathcal{A}$, there is a unique homomorphism $\psi_\Omega : \Omega_q(\mathcal{A})\to\Omega$ of graded q-differential algebras satisfying $\varphi = \psi_\Omega\circ\varphi_q$. Clearly $(\Omega_q(\mathcal{A}),\varphi_q)$ is unique up to an isomorphism and will be refered to as *the universal q-differential calculus for $\mathcal{A}$* and the graded q-differential algebra $\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})$ will be called *the universal q-differential envelope of $\mathcal{A}$*. It is straightforward that φ_q is an isomorphism and we shall identify $\mathcal{A}$ with $\Omega^0_q(\mathcal{A})$. One constructs easily $\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})$ by generators and relations [D-VK]: it is the graded algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}$ in degree 0 and by the $d^\ell(\mathcal{A})$ in degrees ℓ for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ together with the relations of $\mathcal{A}$ and the relations

$$d^n(xy) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array} \right]_q d^m(x) d^{n-m}(y) \qquad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}$$

and one defines the d on $\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})$ by the graded q-Leibniz rule and $d^N = 0$ (from d on $\mathcal{A}$). One has $d(\mathbb{1}) = 0$ and the $\mathbf{k}$ -modules $d^\ell(\mathcal{A})$ are all isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}/\mathbf{k}\,\mathbb{1}$.

The graded q-differential algebra $(\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A}), d_1)$ of Example 4 together with the identity $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{T}^0(\mathcal{A})$ is a graded q-differential calculus for $\mathcal{A}$ and therefore there is a unique homomorphism of graded q-differential algebras of $\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})$ into $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ which extends the identity mapping of $\mathcal{A}$ onto itself and the following result is not very hard to prove [D-VK].

THEOREM 5 *The unique homomorphism of graded q-differential algebras of $\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})$ into $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ equipped with d_1 which induces the identity mapping of $\mathcal{A}$ onto itself is injective.*

It follows that we can identify $\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})$ with the graded q-differential subalgebra of $(\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A}), d_1)$ generated by $\mathcal{A}(\subset \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A}))$.

LEMMA 11 *Let $\mathcal{A}^*$ be the dual module of $\mathcal{A}$. The following conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent for the algebra $\mathcal{A}$.*

(i) The canonical bilinear mapping of $\mathcal{A}^* \times \mathcal{A}$ into $\mathbf{k}$ is surjective.

(ii) There exists $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^*$ such that $\omega(\mathbb{1}) = 1$.

Proof. $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ is obvious since the canonical image of $\mathcal{A}^* \times \mathcal{A}$ is an ideal of $\mathbf{k}$. Assume that (i) is satisfied so that there exist $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{A}^*$ and $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\omega_0(x_0) = 1$; then $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^*$ defined by $\omega(x) = \omega_0(x x_0)$ $\forall x \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (i). $\square$

PROPOSITION 6 *Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the equivalent condition of Lemma 11. Then the generalized (co)homologies of $(\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A}), d_1)$ and of $\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})$ are trivial in the sense that one has :*

$$H^n_{(m)}(\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A}), d_1) = H^n_{(m)}(\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})) = 0 \quad for \quad n \geq 1 \quad and$$

$$H^0_{(m)}(\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A}), d_1) = H^0_{(m)}(\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})) = \mathbf{k} \quad for \quad m \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}.$$

Proof. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded module $E = \mathbf{k}\, e_{-(N-1)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{k}\, e_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$, where $\mathbf{k}\ e_i$ is the free $\mathbf{k}$ -module of rank 1 with generator e_i, $i \in \{-(N-1), \dots, -1\}$. One extends d_1 to E by setting $\tilde{d_1} e_{-1} = \mathbb{1}$ and $\tilde{d_1} e_{-i} = e_{-(i-1)}$ for $N-1 \geq i \geq 2$. One still has $\tilde{d_1}^N = 0$ so $(E, \tilde{d_1})$ is a N-complex. Let ω be a linear form on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\omega(\mathbb{1}) = 1$ and let us define an endomorphism h of degree -1 of E by:

$$h(x_0 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n) = \omega(x_0) x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n$$

for $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n \geq 1$, $h(x_0) = -q^{-1}\omega(x_0)e_{-1}$ for $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}$.

$$h(e_{-i}) = -q^{-(i+1)}(1 + q + \dots + q^i) e_{-(i+1)}$$

for $N-2 \geq i \geq 1$ and $h(e_{-(N-1)}) = 0$. One has on E: $h\tilde{d_1} - q\tilde{d_1}h = I$. By Lemma 5 it follows that $H_{(m)}(E) = 0$, $\forall m \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$. The submodule $F = \mathbf{k}\ e_{-(N-1)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{k}\ e_{-1} \oplus \Omega_q(\mathcal{A})$ is stable by $\tilde{d_1}$ and by h and therefore $H_{(m)}(F) = 0$, $\forall m \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$. This implies immediately the result. The stability of F under the action of h follows from the fact that $\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})$ is generated, as $\mathbf{k}$ -module, by the $x_0 d^{n_1} x_1 \dots d^{n_p} x_p$, $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $n_i \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ and from the expression of the $d^n x$ as $d^n x = [n]_q! \mathbb{1}^{\otimes n} dx$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}$. $\square$

It is worth noticing here that the equivalent conditions of Lemma 11 are automatically satisfied if $\mathbf{k}$ is a field. The above constructions and results generalize well known ones for $q = -1$ ($N = 2$).

By starting from graded q-differential ideals of $\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})$ generated in degree greater than or equal to 1, one constructs graded q-differential algebras which coincide with $\mathcal{A}$ in degree 0 and are generated by $\mathcal{A}$.

5 Further results and perspectives

Let E be a N-differential module with N-differential d and let us extend the homomorphisms $[i]: H_{(m)}(E) \to H_{(m+1)}(E)$ and $[d]: H_{(m+1)}(E) \to H_{(m)}(E)$, $m \in \{1, \dots, N-2\}$ to the module $H_{(\bullet)}(E) = \oplus_{m=1}^{N-1} H_{(m)}(E)$ by setting $[i](H_{(N-1)}(E)) = 0$ and $[d](H_{(1)}(E)) = 0$. It is clear that then, $[i]$ and $[d]$ are two $(N-1)$-differentials on $H_{(\bullet)}(E)$, (i.e. $[i]^{N-1} = 0$ and $[d]^{N-1} = 0$). What is less obvious but has been proved by Kapranov in [Kapr] in that $[i] + [d]$ is also a $(N-1)$-differential on $H_{(\bullet)}(E)$ i.e. that one has $([i] + [d])^{N-1} = 0$. In the case where $N = 3$, $[i] + [d]$ is an ordinary differential and it was also proved in [Kapr] that then the differential module $(H_{(\bullet)}(E), [i] + [d])$ is acyclic, (i.e. $\ker([i] + [d]) = \mathrm{Im}([i] + [d])$). It is easy to see that the latter result is equivalent to the exactness of the hexagon $(\mathcal{H}^{1,1})$ for $N = 3$, i.e. it is equivalent to Lemma 1 in the case $N = 3$. In this sense, Lemma 1 appears as the generalization to arbitrary $N \geq 3$ of this result of [Kapr].

It was shown in [Kapr] that if $q \in \mathbf{k}$ is such that Assumptions (A_1) is satisfied then one can construct a tensor product for N-complexes in the following manner. Let $(E_1 = \oplus_n E_1^n, d_1)$ and $(E_2 = \oplus_n E_2^n, d_2)$ be two N-complexes and let us define d on $E_1 \otimes E_2$ by setting

$$d(\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2) = d_1(\alpha_1) \otimes \alpha_2 + q^{a_1} \alpha_1 \otimes d_2(\alpha_2),\ \forall \alpha_1 \in E_1^{a_1},\ \forall \alpha_2 \in E_2,$$

one has again by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$d^n(\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} q^{a_1(n-m)} \left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array} \right]_q d_1^m(\alpha_1) \otimes d_2^{n-m}(\alpha_2)$$

and therefore Assumption (A_1) implies $d^N(\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2) = d_1^N(\alpha_1)\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 d_2^N(\alpha_2) = 0$.

Unfortunately, as pointed out in [ASi], when (E_1, d_1) and (E_2, d_2) are furthermore two graded q-differential algebras, d fails to be a q-differential in that it does not satisfy the graded q-Leibniz rule except for $q = 1$ or $q = -1$. This latter draw back is closely related to the fact that there is no natural bilinear bracket on the graded q-derivations except in the cases where $q = 1$ or $q = -1$,

For $q = -1$, it was shown in [Kar] that the graded commutators in $\Omega(\mathcal{A}) = \Omega_{(-1)}(\mathcal{A})$ are stable by the differential and that the quotient complex of $\Omega(\mathcal{A})$ by the graded commutators which was called *the noncommutative de Rham complex* has a cohomology which identifies generically with the reduced cyclic homology of $\mathcal{A}$. Unfortunately one cannot define naively along the same line a q-analog of the noncommutative de Rham complex because for $q \neq 1$ and $q \neq -1$ the graded q-commutators in $\Omega_q(\mathcal{A})$ are not stable by the q-differential. This is again closely related to the non existence of a natural bilinear bracket on the q-derivations for $q \neq 1$ and $q \neq -1$.

Let us finally mention a potential application of N-complexes in nonassociative algebra. There is a simple-minded way to understand the usual complexes associated to Lie algebras and to associative algebras. Indeed in these cases the Jacobi identity for Lie algebras and the associativity condition for associative algebras are identities which are quadratic in the product. Therefore if one interprets the product or its dual as the first step of a differential d, the above quadratic identities correspond to the first step of $d^2 = 0$ (one then extends d appropriately and combines it with representations). This suggests that if one starts with a nonassociative algebra where one has for the product identities of degree N

replacing associativity, one should associate N-complexes to it and that a good (co)homological theory for such an algebra would be a generalized (co)homology of the type considered here. In particular we expect a theory with $d^3 = 0$ for Jordan algebras. Work on such an approach is currently in progress.

It is worth noticing here that Kassel and Wambst are developing a version of the theory of N-complexes which is more in the line of modern homological algebra and which contains in particular an appropriate generalization of the functors Tor and Ext [KW].

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank warmly Mikhail Kapranov, Max Karoubi, Christian Kassel, Richard Kerner, Peter Michor, Michel Rausch de Traubenberg, Jim Stasheff and Marc Wambst for helpful suggestions and comments.

References

[MD-V] M. Dubois-Violette. Generalized differential spaces with $d^N = 0$ and the q-differential calculus. L.P.T.H.E.-ORSAY 96/75, available from http://qcd.th.u-psud.fr; q-alg/9609012. *Czech J. Phys.* **46** (1997) 1227-1233.

[D-VK] M. Dubois-Violette, R. Kerner. Universal q-differential calculus and q-analog of homological algebra. L.P.T.H.E.-ORSAY 96/48, available from http://qcd.th.u-psud.fr; q-alg/9608026. *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae* **65** (1996) 175-188.

[D-VT] M. Dubois-Violette, I.T. Todorov. Generalized cohomologies and the physical subspace of the $SU(2)$ WZNW model. L.P.T.H.E.-ORSAY 97/08 and BLTP-JINR, Dubna E2-97-113, available from http://qcd.th.u-psud.fr; hep-th/9704069. To appear in *Lett. Math. Phys.*.

[Gre] W.H. Greub. Linear algebra. Springer-Verlag 1963.

[Kapr] M.M. Kapranov. On the q-analog of homological algebra. Preprint Cornell University 1991; q-alg/961005.

[Kar] M. Karoubi. Homologie cyclique des groupes et algèbres. *Acad. Sci. Paris* **297**, Série I (1983), 381-384.

M. Karoubi. Homologie cyclique et K-théorie. *Astérisque* **149** (S.M.F.), 1987.

[KW] C. Kassel, M. Wambst. Algèbre homologique des N-complexes et homologie de Hochschild aux racines de l'unité. q-alg/9705001. To appear in *Pub. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto University.*

[J-LL] J.-L. Loday. Cyclic homology. Springer Verlag 1992.

[Mass] T. Masson. Géométrie non commutative et applications à la théorie des champs. Thesis, Orsay 1995, available from http://qcd.th.u-psud.fr.

[May] W. Mayer. A new homology theory I,II. *Annals of Math.* **43** (1942) 370-380 and 594-605.

[RdT] M. Rausch de Traubenberg. Algèbres de Clifford, supersymétrie et symétries $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Application en théorie des champs. Preprint Strasbourg LPT-02.

[ASi] A. Sitarz. On the tensor product construction for q-differential algebras. q-alg/9705014.

[Weib] C.A. Weibel. An introduction to homological algebra. Cambridge University Press 1994.